

LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of Board Meeting held March 22, 2005

A regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Lower Paxton Township was called to order at 7:34 p.m. by Chairman William B. Hawk on the above date in the Lower Paxton Township Municipal Center, 425 Prince Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Supervisors present in addition to Mr. Hawk were William C. Seeds, Sr., Gary A. Crissman, and David B. Blain.

Also present were George Wolfe, Township Manager; Steven A. Stine, Township Solicitor; Lori Wissler, Planning and Zoning Officer, and Dianne Moran, Planning and Zoning Officer.

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Blain led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Approval of Minutes of November 23, 2004, December 7, 2004,

January 11, 2005 and February 15, 2005

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the minutes of the November 23, 2004 Administrative Workshop meeting, the December 7, 2004 Budget Workshop meeting, the January 11, 2005 Joint Workshop meeting of the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission, and February 15, 2005 Board meeting as presented. Mr. Blain seconded the motion and a unanimous vote followed.

Public Comment

There were no comments.

Chairman and Board Members' Comments

There were no comments.

Manager's Report on Township Activities

Mr. Wolfe explained the Township recently received a check that was presented to Board Members by PENNDOT for \$683,445 for a grant for a Hometown Streets Program. He noted that this was one of several funding sources, sought by the Board, to fund the Village of Linglestown Project. He noted that the total project cost for construction is approximately \$4 million.

Mr. Wolfe explained the Village of Linglestown project in the final design stage. He noted that bids should be let for construction in early 2006, with the project's completion slated for 2007. He stated that the Board of Supervisors was attempting to secure more funding to complete the project. He explained that the basic improvements for the project occur between Margaret Avenue and Pennsylvania Avenue on Linglestown Road. He reported that the improvements would include two roundabouts, one to be installed at Mountain Road, and, the second, at Pennsylvania Avenue. In addition, various traffic calming devices would be installed throughout the village. He noted that a diverter road would be built to channel traffic from Blue Mountain Parkway to Linglestown Road.

Mr. Wolfe stated that Arora and Associates, in cooperation with PENNDOT, is the project designer for the plan. The project is funded through a reimbursement agreement between the Township and PENNDOT, but construction services are yet to be fully funded.

Mr. Wolfe explained that the curbside collection of leaf waste on a bi-weekly basis would start in April. He noted that the service is provided to citizens at a rate of \$60 per year. He noted that this service provides for two collections per month, from April through November; one collection in December; and the collection of Christmas trees the second week of January. He explained that all leaf waste must be placed at curbside, and is not permitted to be packaged in plastic bags. It must be loose, in a can, or a Kraft recyclable

bag. The bags should not weigh more than 50 pounds, and brush must be cut, tied in bundles, and be no more than 6 feet in length. Any brush greater than 2 feet in diameter must be taken to the Township landfill. Mr. Wolfe further explained that leaf waste does not include grass clippings, since they are considered normal trash and are collected with the regular trash collection collected by Waste Management.

Mr. Wolfe explained that the Township’s compost facility is located on Conway Road and it is open Tuesdays, Thursdays and Saturdays during daylight hours. Residents are permitted to use the facility free of charge, but they may be required to show proof of Township residency. He noted that the compost facility opens April 5th.

Old Business

There was no old business.

New Business

Opening of bids for the sale of the Township vehicles

Mr. Wolfe opened and read the following bids for the sale of Township vehicles.

Bidder	Vehicle	Bid Amount
Banbridge Motors Inc.	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 139,260	\$ 1,679.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 119,466	\$ 1,779.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 115.638	\$ 1,879.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 93,430	\$ 1,979.00
Mark's Motors	1989 Chevrolet Astro - 96, 565	\$ 76.00
	1996 Chevrolet Lumina - 116,273	\$ 375.00
	1996 Chevrolet Lumina - 90,674	\$ 575.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 115.638	\$ 1,515.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 93,430	\$ 1,875.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 139,260	\$ 1,415.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 119,466	\$ 1,575.00
Elshaun Zirkan	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 93,430	\$ 526.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 139,260	\$ 451.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 119,466	\$ 123.00

Bidder	Vehicle	Bid Amount
Government Cars Distribution Center	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 115,638	\$ 1,107.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 93,430	\$ 1,288.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 139,260	\$ 1,107.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 119,466	\$ 1,107.00
Donald Zimmerman	1996 Chevrolet Lumina - 116,273	\$ 700.00
Ted Covington Sales	1989 Chevrolet Astro - 96, 565	\$ 65.00
	1996 Chevrolet Lumina - 116,273	\$ 913.00
	1996 Chevrolet Lumina - 90,674	\$ 1,067.00
Grace Quality Used Cars	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 115,638	\$ 585.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 93,430	\$ 855.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 139,260	\$ 855.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 119,466	\$ 585.00

Mr. Wolfe explained that all the respondents submitted bid bonds, which would make the bids complete. He explained that the Board could make recommendations now or allow him to review the bids and make a recommendation at the next meeting.

Mr. Crissman suggested that Mr. Wolfe should be afforded the time to review all the bids before they were awarded. Mr. Hawk noted that the Board would await Mr. Wolfe's recommendations.

Mr. Hawk made a motion to table the awarding of the bids until Mr. Wolfe has had an opportunity to review the bids for the vehicles. The motion was seconded by Mr. Crissman, and passed unanimously.

Request for Firer Suppression Forbearance Agreement from St. Thomas Properties LLP
for property addressed as 5947 Linglestown Road

Ms. Wissler explained that St. Thomas Properties, LLP, has requested to enter into a Fire Suppression Forbearance Agreement with Lower Paxton Township for the proposed restaurant located at 5974 Linglestown Road. She explained that a copy of the fire suppression agreement and Ordinance 2005-03 which was adopted on March 1, 2005, were included in members' packets. In addition, a copy of the United Water Pennsylvania Map

shows the nearest water line, located at Linglestown Road and Blue Mountain Parkway, which is approximately 910 feet away.

Ms. Wissler explained that the developer was required to install a functioning suppression system in order to be able to connect to water, within one year of the time that water is installed within 200 feet of the property line. Ms. Wissler noted that the agreement was ready for the Board of Supervisors action.

Mr. Seed noted that he would not participate in the consideration of the fire suppression forbearance agreement for St. Thomas Properties, LLP due to a conflict of interest as defined by the Pennsylvania Ethics Law. Mr. Hawk noted that Mr. Seeds would reclude himself from any action on the forbearance agreement due to a conflict of interest.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the Suppression Forbearance Agreement for St. Thomas Properties, LLP for the property addressed at 5974 Linglestown Road. Mr. Blain seconded the motion.

The Supervisors were polled as follows: Mr. Blain – aye, Mr. Crissman – aye, Mr. Hawk – aye.

Intergovernmental Cooperation and Technology Agreement with Dauphin County to provide for the sharing of data between governmental entities.

Mr. Wolfe explained that this agreement provides for the sharing of information technology. He noted that Dauphin County and the Township have developed their geographic information system and related data bases, and that this agreement would provide for the sharing of data between the two governmental entities. Mr. Wolfe noted that it was Staff's recommendation to enter into this agreement with Dauphin County to provide the sharing of valuable data.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to accept the Intergovernmental Cooperation and Technology Agreement with Dauphin County which provides for the sharing of data between the governmental entities. Mr. Blain seconded the motion.

Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and all Board Members voted aye.

Resolution 05-14; authorizing submission for grant funds to sponsor a training session for police officers funded by the MPOETC

Mr. Hawk noted that Resolution 2005-14 provides for grant funds to sponsor a training session for police officers funded by the Municipal Police Officers Education and Training Commission (MPOETC).

Mr. Wolfe explained that this grant application provides for training sessions to be held at Lower Paxton Township for Field Training Officers (FTO) up to a certain number. The cost of training would be \$2,700.00, and this program is used to train officers who train rookie police officers in the performance of their duties. Mr. Wolfe recommended that the Board of Supervisors should vote affirmatively on this resolution.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve Resolution 2005-14; authorizing submission for grant funds to sponsor a training session for police officers funded by the MPOETC. Mr. Blain seconded the motion.

Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and all Board Members voted aye.

Resolution 2005-15; establishing an intermunicipal cooperative to create a comprehensive plan for assistance among police departments

Mr. Hawk noted that this resolution establishes an intermunicipal cooperative to create a comprehensive plan for assistance among police departments. Mr. Wolfe explained that this resolution requests a joint submission from police departments through Swatara Township for the reimbursement of costs, incurred on a multi-municipal basis, in the opening of the Bass Pro Shop in Swatara Township at the Harrisburg Mall.

Mr. Wolfe explained that several police departments assisted Swatara Township Police Department in providing traffic enforcement during the opening days of the Bass Pro Shop. He noted that this cooperative grant would reimburse Lower Paxton Township for their costs.

Mr. Hawk noted that eight police departments participated in this event, and are seeking reimbursement.

Mr. Blain made a motion to approve Resolution 2005-15; establishing an intermunicipal cooperative to create a comprehensive plan for assistance among police departments. Mr. Crissman seconded the motion.

Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and all Board Members voted aye.

Resolution 05-16; authorizing the Zoning Hearing Board to hear appeals regarding the enforcement of Property Maintenance Code

Mr. Hawk noted that Resolution 2005-16, authorizes the Zoning Hearing Board to hear appeals regarding the enforcement of the Property Maintenance Code. Mr. Wolfe explained that this would allow the Zoning Hearing Board to hear appeals from individuals in regards to enforcement decisions made by staff of the Townships' Property Maintenance Code.

Mr. Seeds questioned if this only had to do with the maintenance of properties, and not building codes. Mr. Wolfe answered that it only concerns the property maintenance code. Mr. Wolfe explained that the appeals would concern questions as to how the Codes Officer interpreted the Maintenance Code. He noted that this would not take the place of the Magisterial Judges' actions.

Mr. Seeds questioned if the application fee for a variance would be the same as a variance fee. Mr. Wolfe answered that, currently the fee is based on the type of use, but the fees are schedule to be changed by the Board of Supervisors at their April 5, 2005 meeting.

Mr. Seeds questioned if it would be fair to charge the same fee for an appeal, for a decision made by a member of staff for a maintenance issue, as you would for a variance request. Mr. Wolfe noted that the fees would be different, but not significantly higher. Mr. Stine noted that the fees are based on the costs the Township incurs in conducting a hearing. He noted that the fees would include the advertising costs for a public hearing, and a court reporter. Mr. Wolfe noted that, if the Codes Officers would rule that the grass heights exceeds the limit of the Ordinance, and issued a citation, the Zoning Hearing Board would not hear those types of complaints.

Mr. Seeds requested an example of an applicable maintenance issue. Mr. Stine noted that the Property Maintenance Codes Officer would inspect the property to determine if there were any violations. If a violation was found, he would send out a notice of violations to the person. At the bottom of the notice, it would state that if the recipient disagreed with the decision of the Codes Officer, he would have 30 days to appeal the decision to the Zoning Hearing Board. He noted that if the recipient does not file an appeal, they would be determined guilty. He noted that the case would be taken to the Magisterial Judge to set the fine. He noted that the appeal process determines the merits of the case.

Mr. Seeds questioned what the cost would be for an appeal. Ms. Wissler noted that the current fee is \$250.00. Mr. Wolfe noted that the increased fee would be determined by the Board of Supervisors when they vote to increase the Township fees at the next public meeting.

Mr. Seeds questioned what the current practice is. Mr. Wolfe answered that there has never been an appeal of a Maintenance Codes Officer's decision. Mr. Stine noted that the merits are heard by the Zoning Hearing Board. If an appeal is not filed, the person is found guilty. He noted that the Magisterial Justice has no jurisdiction to determine guilt or

innocence, only the fine amount. Mr. Stine noted that the Zoning Hearing Board would only determine guilt, but would be unable to set the fine. Mr. Wolfe noted that an example would be where a Codes Officer would not permit a person to remove soft items from a “cat house” due to contamination. He explained that a disagreement concerning this issue could be appealed to the Zoning Hearing Board. Mr. Wolfe noted that when the Township adopted the Pennsylvania Uniform Construction Code, there was a provision of the Property Maintenance Code which requires an appeal board. He noted that the Township adopted the 2003 IBC Property Maintenance Code with the other 2003 Code additions. He noted that, to his knowledge, no one has ever appealed a Property Maintenance Codes Officer’s decision. Mr. Stine noted that this is not part of the Uniform Construction Code, it is a separate code. Mr. Wolfe noted that the Township adopted the same property maintenance code that the building codes are based on.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to accept Resolution 2005-16, authorizing the Zoning Hearing Board to hear appeals regarding the enforcement of the Property Maintenance Code. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and all Board Members voted aye.

Subdivision and Land Development

Preliminary/final land development plan for Kurtz Rentals

Ms. Wissler explained that the purpose of this plan is to propose three self-storage buildings totaling 5,245 square feet on a property that is currently improved with a 2,557 square foot, four-unit apartment building. The tract consists of 1.3 acres and is zoned C-1, Commercial, and will be served by public sewer and an on-lot well. The property is located south of Linglestown Road and east of Albany Road.

Ms. Wissler noted that on January 12, 2005, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the plan subject to addressing the review comments. The Commission also recommended the approval of the requested waivers which are the waiver of the requirement to submit a preliminary plan; the requirement to provide curb (eastern end) and sidewalks along the Linglestown Road frontage; and waiver of the requirement to provide low flow channels and underdrains in detention basis. Ms. Wissler noted that staff supports all three waivers. Ms. Wissler noted that the Board members have been provided with the site specific conditions, general conditions, and staff comments.

Mr. David Weibrecht of Alpha Consulting Engineers, Inc. was present to provide testimony. He explained that all conditions have been worked out with staff, and he believed the plan was ready for approval. He noted that all outside agencies approvals have been made. He explained that prior to this plan; the plan had three mobile homes proposed for the property, but with the recent changes to the C-1 District, which allowed personal storage units, he revamped the plan. He noted that the applicant prefers this choice over the mobile homes.

Mr. Crissman questioned staff's first comment regarding the signage requirement for a permit to be required for all signs, and acknowledging that banners are not permitted on Linglestown Road. Mr. Weibrecht answered that he was aware of the requirements and was in agreement with all comments.

Mr. Seeds noted that he had a question in regards to the diagram for parking. He noted that the tenants currently have parking on the west side, and he questioned if the tenants would have parking on the east side. Mr. Kurtz answered that the east side would be paved. He noted that he would provide for a total of eight spaces. Mr. Seeds questioned if that was the required number of parking spaces for the apartment complex. Mr. Kurtz

answered that it was. Mr. Seeds questioned if there would be parking provided for the persons using the storage facility. Ms. Wissler explained parking would only be required for storage facilities if there would be an office on site, otherwise patrons would pull up to the garage door, unload their items, and leave. Mr. Hawk noted that it would be a short-term parking use.

Mr. Kurtz noted that the ten-foot building would only load from the south-side, and there would be no storage usage on the north-side.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the preliminary/final land development plan 2004-28 for Kurtz Rentals, with the three waiver requests, which are the waiver of the requirement to submit a preliminary plan; the requirement to provide curb (eastern end) and sidewalks along the Linglestown Road frontage, and waiver of the requirement to provide low flow channels and underdrains in detention basis; the one site specific condition, which states that plan approval is subject to addressing BL Companies Memorandum to Diane Moran dated March 9, 2005; the three general conditions which state that plan approval shall be subject to providing original seals and signatures on the plan, subject to the establishment of an improvement guarantee for the proposed site improvements, and subject to the payment of the engineering fees; and the three staff comments which state that all signage must meet the requirements of the Lower Paxton Township Zoning Ordinance, 1183, a street/storm sewer construction permit is required for construction of storm water facilities, and the proposed buildings will be required to have a fire protection system per Township requirements. . Mr. Blain seconded the motion. The Supervisors were polled as follows: Mr. Crissman – aye, Mr. Blain – aye, Mr. Seeds – aye, Mr. Hawk – aye.

Preliminary/final subdivision and land development plan for Harrisburg
Gastroenterology LTD

Ms. Moran noted that the purpose of the plan is the construction of an expansion on the east side of the existing building, a new parking lot on the adjacent eastern parcel, installation of concrete curbing and sidewalks and the installation of new on-site storm water collection and detention facilities. The project site is located at 4760 Union Deposit Road. The property is zoned R-O, Research Office District and consists of 4.556 acres. The property is served by public sewer and public water. The applicant has requested two waivers, one for the preliminary plan requirement, and also for the requirement to provide low flow channel and underdrains in the detention basins, both of which staff supports. Ms. Moran noted that staff comments and Dauphin Engineering comments have been provided to Board members, and Mr. Chad Angle from Raudenbush Engineering Inc., was present to represent the plan.

Mr. Seeds noted that under the third general condition, it states that the plan approval shall be subject to the establishment of an automatically renewable improvement guarantee for the proposed site improvements. Ms. Moran noted that the improvement guarantees would be automatically renewable through the bank at the end of the year. Mr. Seeds questioned if it would be up to the bank to make that decision. Ms. Moran answered that the Township would require banks to provide this service. Mr. Blain explained that the bank would grant an extended period of time until the project is completed, or until it is deemed that there are no necessary improvements required by the Township. Mr. Seeds questioned if the plans would continue to be reviewed at Township meetings. Mr. Blain noted that the Board of Supervisors would continue to set the conditions for the timing to complete the work, but the line of credit would not be conditioned on any set time period. He noted that the Township would not require a new line of credit from the bank every year. Mr. Hawk

noted that the bank would adjust the line of credit accordingly. Ms. Moran noted that many of the banks are already processing their line of credits in this manner. Mr. Stine noted that this would prevent a line of credit from expiring, and the loss of the security.

Mr. Angle, from Raudenbush Engineering, explained that the expansion would include additional parking, landscaping, curbs and sidewalks.

Mr. Crissman questioned Mr. Angle if he was in agreement with the two requested waivers, seven general conditions, and one staff comment. Mr. Angle answered that he was.

Mr. Blain noted that Dauphin Engineering Co.'s comments mentioned a six-foot fence to be placed around the detention basin. He questioned what staff's opinion was regarding this since it was not required by ordinance. Ms. Wissler answered that staff does not feel that this should be required, but the engineers seem to include this comment in most plans. Mr. Seeds noted that fences are required when waivers are granted on slope conditions. Mr. Blain noted that it is a 3:1 slope, and he did not think the slope in the swale was great enough to warrant a fence. Ms. Wissler noted that staff was in agreement with Mr. Blain.

Mr. Blain made a motion to approve the preliminary/final subdivision and land development plan for Harrisburg Gastroenterology LTD, with the two waivers requests, the first, for the preliminary plan requirement, and the requirement to provide low flow channel and underdrains in the detention basins; review of the seven general conditions, which state, the plan shall be subject to providing original seals and signatures, subject to the payment of engineering review fees, subject to the establishment of an automatically renewable improvement guarantee for the proposed site improvements, subject to the Dauphin County Conservation District's review of the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan, subject to DEP's approval of sewage facilities planning module, subject to the Lower Paxton

Township Sewer Department's review and approval of the sanitary sewer design, and subject to addressing all comments from Dauphin Engineering, Inc.; one staff comment, which states that a street/storm permit is required for construction of storm water facilities and grading work to a detention basin requires a permit and inspection for the same, and any other additional comments that may be necessary as per Dauphin Engineering. Mr. Crissman seconded the motion. The Supervisors were polled as follows: Mr. Crissman – aye, Mr. Blain – aye, Mr. Seeds – aye, Mr. Hawk – aye.

Preliminary/final subdivision plan for Thelma Fite

Ms. Wissler noted that the purpose of this plan is to combine Lots #19 and # 20 to form one building lot. The tract, consisting of 0.22 acre, is zoned R-2, Medium Density Residential District, and is located at 6029 Locust Street. The lot will be served by an on-lot well and public sewer.

Ms. Wissler noted that on January 6, 2005, the Lower Paxton Township Zoning Hearing Board granted a variance from the minimum lot area and minimum lot width requirements.

Ms. Wissler noted that on March 9, 2005, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the plan subject to addressing the review comments. The Commission also recommended approval of the waiver of the requirement to submit a preliminary plan; the requirement to submit a storm water management plan; requirement to provide curb and sidewalk along the frontage of Locust Street; the requirement to provide street widening along the frontage of Locust Street; and the waiver of the requirement to provide a detailed hydro-geological study. Ms. Wissler noted that staff supports all these waivers. She noted that site specific conditions, general conditions, and staff comments have been included in the Board members packets. Ms. Patti Fisher was present on behalf of the plan.

Mr. Crissman questioned Ms. Fisher if she supports and clearly accepts the five waiver requests, the three site specific conditions, the three general conditions, and staff comments as presented. Ms. Fisher answered that she did.

Mr. Blain questioned Ms. Fisher if she was aware of the comments from Dauphin Engineering regarding the 75-foot sight triangle. Ms. Fisher questioned if this was correct. Ms. Wissler noted that she would review this with Ms. Fisher, and it would not be a problem.

Mr. Seeds made a motion to approve the preliminary/final subdivision plan 2005 – 01 for Thelma Fite, granting the five waivers, for the requirement to submit a preliminary plan, to submit a storm water management plan, to provide curb and sidewalk along the frontage of Locust Street, to provide street widening along the frontage of Locust Street, and to provide a detailed hydro-geological study; the three site specific conditions, which states that a statement must be included on the plan indicating that all utilities in the Township have been contacted, provide owners name under signature line, and subject to addressing Dauphin Engineering Company’s Memorandum to Lori Wissler dated March 11, 2005; the three general conditions, which shall be subject to providing original seals and signatures on the plan, subject to the payment of the engineering review fees, and subject to the Lower Paxton Township Sewer Department’s review and approval of the sanitary sewer design; and the staff comment which correct the spelling of “title” in the Dedicatory Statement. Mr. Crissman seconded the motion. The Supervisors were polled as follows: Mr. Crissman – aye, Mr. Blain – aye, Mr. Seeds – aye, Mr. Hawk – aye.

Improvement Guarantees

Kings Crossing, Phase C

Mr. Seeds had a question regarding the Kings Crossing, Phase C request. Mr. Seeds noted that staff had recommended that necessary repairs be completed as soon as possible. He questioned what would be done to ensure that they are completed. Ms. Wissler explained that Mr. Holmes is to meet with Mr. Robbins to review the necessary improvements. Mr. Seeds questioned if the remaining amount of \$210,095.60 would cover the costs of the necessary repairs. Ms. Wissler answered that it would.

Central Dauphin East High School

Mr. Seeds noted that Central Dauphin East High School is requesting a reduction to \$2,530.00, but Mr. Robbins noted that they had three listed problems with erosion, and detention ponds. He questioned if the amount of the reduction would pay for the needed repairs. Ms. Wissler noted that Mr. Robbins and Mr. Staub have met regarding these issues. Mr. Crissman suggested pulling this request since the deadline is April 29, 2005, and there is time to further review the request. Mr. Hawk noted that the Board could approve all the improvement guarantees except for the Central Dauphin East High School Improvement Guarantee.

Mr. Hawk made a motion to approve the Improvement Guarantees for Harrisburg News Company; Kings Crossing, Phase C; Stoneybrook, Phase 6; New Central Dauphin High School; Fulton Bank; Chateau Woods, Kings Pointe; and Chateau Woods, Kings Point. He noted that the Central Dauphin East High School Alterations and Additions would not be included in the approval process. Mr. Crissman seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and all Board members voted aye.

Payment of Bills

Mr. Seeds made a motion to pay the bills of Lower Paxton Township and the bills of the Lower Paxton Township Authority.

Mr. Crissman seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

Announcements

Mr. Wolfe noted that he had reviewed the bids submitted for the sale of Township vehicles. He determined that the high bid amounts submitted for the vehicles were complete, and the Board could take action, this evening.

Mr. Wolfe noted the following high bid amounts.

Bidder	Vehicle	Bid Amount
Banbridge Motors Inc.	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 139,260	\$ 1,679.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 119,466	\$ 1,779.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 115,638	\$ 1,879.00
	1998 Ford Crown Victoria - 93,430	\$ 1,979.00
Mark's Motors	1989 Chevrolet Astro - 96, 565	\$ 76.00
Ted Covingtons's	1996 Chevrolet Lumina - 116,273	\$ 913.00
	1996 Chevrolet Lumina - 90,674	\$ 1,067.00

Mr. Crissman made a motion to award the bids as read by Mr. Wolfe. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and all Board members voted aye.

Adjournment

Mr. Crissman made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. The meeting adjourned at 8:33 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Maureen Heberle

Approved by,

Gary A. Crissman
Township Secretary