

LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of Board Meeting held April 5, 2011

The business meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Lower Paxton Township was called to order at 7:47 p.m. by William B. Hawk, Chairman, on the above date, in the Lower Paxton Township Municipal Center, 425 Prince Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Supervisors present in addition to Mr. Hawk were: William C. Seeds, Sr., William L. Hornung, and David B. Blain.

Also in attendance were George Wolfe, Township Manager; Steven Stine, Township Solicitor; and Ted Robertson and Watson Fisher, SWAN.

**Pledge of Allegiance**

Mr. Hawk suspended the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance as it was recited during the previous Sewer Authority meeting.

**Approval of Minutes**

Mr. Blain made a motion to approve the minutes of the January 11, 2011 and March 8, 2011 workshop meetings, and the March 15, 2011 business meeting. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion, and a unanimous vote followed.

**Public Comment**

Mr. Alvin Q. Taylor, 1062 Acri Drive, noted that he is a life-long resident of the Township who moved to the Township in 1963 and is a 1971 graduate of Central Dauphin High School, and one of the first graduates of the Dauphin County Vo-Tech School. He explained that he is running for the position of Dauphin County Commissioner and that he previously ran for that office and received 13,000 votes. He noted that there are three vacant commissioner seats this May and the voting slate has four democrats and two republicans.

Mr. Taylor explained that he worked very hard with the recent U. S. Census and it resulted in an increase of 6% to 10% which will result in funding of \$1,300 for every resident for

the next ten years. He noted that he hopes to see the same great turn out for Election Day on Tuesday, May 17<sup>th</sup>. He explained that he would bring the success that he had with working on the census to Dauphin County if elected. He noted that the large issue for this election is the debt for the City of Harrisburg's Incinerator. He asked that you would vote for him on May 17<sup>th</sup>, as he is number two on the ballot.

### **Chairman & Board Members' Comments**

No Board member comments were provided.

### **Manager's Report**

Mr. Wolfe noted that Waste Management has begun its twice a month collection of curbside yard waste starting this week. He noted that the yard waste collection occurs the day following normal collection. He noted that the curbside collection will continue through the summer into the fall months. He noted that residents do not pay additional fees for this service. He explained that the Township printed the schedule in The Township Newsletter, however, the printer made an error and never shaded the appropriate weeks for collection. He noted that a copy of the accurate calendar is available on the Township's webpage and was included as an insert with the recently mailed sanitary sewer bills.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Easter Extravaganza will be held Saturday, April 16, at 1 p.m. in Brightbill Park. He noted that the event is geared to children ages 2 to 10 and their families.

Mr. Hawk requested Peyton Blain, the son of Supervisor David Blain, to stand and be recognized by those in attendance at the meeting.

### **OLD BUSINESS**

#### Acceptance of the Dauphin County Local Share Grant Agreement 11-08 for improvements to Page and Spring Creek Roads

Mr. Wolfe explained that the Township is the recipient of three grant agreements, all of which have been approved by the Dauphin County Commissioners as part of the Local Share

Municipal Grant Program. He noted the purpose for the grants is to provide municipal infrastructure funding for municipalities and governmental agencies in Dauphin County. He noted that the funds come from Dauphin County's share of gaming revenues from the Hollywood Casino. He noted that these funds are shared with the 40 municipalities within Dauphin County.

Mr. Wolfe explained that Agreement 11-08 is for improvements to Page and Spring Creek Roads in the amount of \$250,000. He noted that this is the second grant the County has awarded to this project to bring the total award to \$500,000.

Acceptance of the Dauphin County Local Share Grant Agreement 11-09  
for improvements to the Linglestown Fire Company No. 1

Mr. Hawk noted that numerous members from the Linglestown Fire Company are in attendance and he asked the members to stand and be recognized.

Mr. Wolfe noted that it is a requirement of the program that funds granted to entities associated with a municipality must be administered through the local government, noting that the Township must be the conduit for the funding.

Chief William Payne thanked the Board members and Mr. Wolfe for their help in the grant process. He explained that the Linglestown Fire Company received an award of \$149,000 to repair the roof, install new windows, and siding for the fire house. He noted that the volunteers wanted to thank the Board for their help in securing the funds to do this work.

Chief William Payne noted that an average soup sale conducted by the fire company results in a profit of \$2,000. He noted that it would take 75 soup sales expending 16,800 hours to make \$149,000. He noted that it is a great relief to the membership to be awarded the grant to make the necessary repairs to the building.

Mr. Hornung noted that he is always in awe in the amount of hours the volunteer fire fighters put in for the Township. He requested Chief Payne to provide some annual statistics to the viewing audience for hours volunteered for fighting fires, training and other works that are done. Chief Payne reported that the Linglestown Fire Company ran 441 alarms in 2010, with 7,368 hours of work to include training and fire calls. He noted that it does not include public service hours for fire prevention programs, soup sales and things like that. Mr. Hornung questioned how many hours the average volunteer does in a year. Chief Payne answered that it would be around 700 hours per year. Mr. Hornung noted that it is amazing that there are people in the community that give 700 hours a year towards the community and ask for nothing in return. He noted that they are away from their families during this time, and also risk their lives. He requested the members of the fire company to stand and asked the people in the audience to applaud them for their hard work. Mr. Hornung noted that these individuals are the heroes.

Mr. Seeds noted that the amount of hours that the volunteers put in is very impressive, and since he was unable to attend the recent fire company banquet, he wanted to personally thank all the volunteers that are present. Mr. Hawk noted that he had a very good time at the banquet and that he appreciates that the Board members are invited to attend every year.

Acceptance of the Dauphin County Local Share Grant Agreement 11- 10  
for improvements to the Colonial Park Fire Company

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Colonial Park Fire Company received a grant authorization of \$46,000 to complete construction activities at their new fire house. He noted that these were items that were delayed and were not necessary for building occupancy but had been planned to complete over time.

Mr. Blain made a motion to authorize the acceptance of the Dauphin County Local Share Grant Agreement 11-10 for Colonial Park Fire Company in the amount of \$46,000; Agreement 11-09 for the Linglestown Fire Company, No. 1 in the amount of \$149,000; and Agreement

11- 08 for road construction improvements to Page and Spring Creek Roads in the amount of \$250,000. Mr. Seeds seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a roll call vote; Mr. Blain, aye, Mr. Hornung, aye; Mr. Seeds, aye; and Mr. Hawk, aye.

Mr. John Trish reported to the Board that the sound is not working for the televised viewing public. Mr. Hawk noted that there is not much that could be done to fix it at this time. Mr. Trish noted that it is not fair; noting that we have had this system long enough and the problems should be ironed out. Mr. Seeds and Mr. Hawk agreed.

Action on the proposed sale of the 1982 Pierce Fire engine  
to the Newtown Fire Company

Mr. Wolfe explained that the Township received an offer to purchase the 1982 Pierce Fire engine, as is, for \$3,000. He noted that the Township has tried to sell the fire engine twice through an advertised bidding process to no avail. He noted that the Township received an offer from the Newtown Fire Company to purchase the fire engine. He noted that the Township is permitted to sell directly to a volunteer fire company without going through the bidding process. He noted that Linglestown Fire Company currently operates and maintains the apparatus. Chief William Payne explained that Newtown Fire Company is planning to use the apparatus as a front line piece of equipment and they are a very needy fire company.

Mr. Hawk noted that it is good to provide equipment to a needy fire company who can get some years of service from it.

Mr. Seeds made a motion to sell the 1982 Pierce Fire engine to the Newtown Fire Company for \$3,000. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk noted that Mr. Hornung had stepped out of the meeting; he called for a voice vote, and a unanimous vote followed.

Ordinance 11-01; establishing regulations to protect citizens from unnecessary noises that cause night-time sleep disturbance, discomfort or serious annoyance

Mr. Hawk explained that Ordinance 11-01 has been prepared and authorized in response to many complaints from citizens that the Township's current regulations do not sufficiently address the various issues of unwanted noise. He noted that the noise ordinance has been prepared by the Public Safety Director, and the draft ordinance was reviewed by the Supervisors during a recent workshop session. He noted that changes to the draft have been made by staff to address the issues raised in those workshop sessions, and the ordinance has been advertised for Board action at the April 19, 2011 meeting. Mr. Hawk explained that it was decided that the entire Board should be present for this vote, and since Mr. Crissman was unable to attend this meeting and he would not be available on April 19<sup>th</sup>, since he is required to attend the Pennsylvania State Association of Township Supervisors conference, that he would ask for a motion after public comment to set a date and time in May to take action on the ordinance. He noted that no action will be taken on the ordinance this evening; only a public hearing will be conducted to solicit public comment.

Mr. Hawk explained if anyone wishes to make public comment, the individual should come to the podium and speak into the microphone and begin by stating their name and address. He requested those making comments to be concise, speak to the point, and do not be redundant. He requested persons making comments to refrain from making any personal comments about other people.

Mr. Wolfe noted that this ordinance has been advertised, and a copy of the ordinance is available for public inspection at the Municipal Center and on the Township's website under legal advertisements. He noted that the intent and purpose of the ordinance, if it is adopted, will be to establish regulations to protect citizens from unnecessary noises and sounds that could cause nighttime sleep disturbance, discomfort, or serious annoyance. He noted that the ordinance

will set sound level limits by zoning districts found in the Township's Zoning Ordinance and residential districts will be treated differently from commercial or industrial districts. He noted that the sound level limits will differ for daytime activities and nighttime activities, and the sound level limits will also differ for items or noises that occur intermittently rather than noises that occur for extended periods of time. He noted that the ordinance will take into account background noise levels and factor those levels into noise readings to determine if a violation has occurred. He noted that readings for violations would be measured at a property line where a suspecting noise occurs. He noted that some exemptions would be, amplified announcements during sporting events, blasting under a permit from the Codes Enforcement Officer, band concerts, block parties, church carnivals, and other similar performances, except during the hours of 10 pm. to 7 am. He noted that sounds from emergency and utility work, and sounds not amplified for school programs, such as football games, and snow blowers, are exempt. He noted that domestic power tools are exempt during the day. He noted that there are specific conditions for certain activities, amplified sound for band music and live concert bands, home emergency signals, operating equipment without sound control equipment, such as operating a lawnmower without a muffler, repairs to automotive equipment, levels of sounds from motor vehicles, potential for domestic animals to cause a violation, and the constantly barking dog. He noted that enforcement provisions are afforded to the Township Codes Officer and Police Officers. He explained that two or more neighbors who witness a complaint can file a violation notice before a magistrate and two witnesses can be prima facie evidence of the noise disturbance.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the noise ordinance has been advertised for action and the advertisement contains the ordinance.

Mr. Hawk suggested that anyone can download the ordinance for its review, and noted that an ordinance can be amended once it is enacted. He noted that Mr. Stine would conduct the

public hearing at this time and reminded those present that no vote would be taken on this ordinance during this meeting.

Mr. Stine noted that this is the time and date set for receiving comments on Ordinance 11-01.

Ms. Tara Mead, 201 Knollwood Drive, noted that she is in support of the proposed noise ordinance. She noted that some of the people present may be in opposition to this effort and feel that it is not needed in the Township. She noted that the efforts to revise the noise ordinance were not created in a vacuum. She noted that it has been worked on for two plus years; an effort on behalf of the Board of Supervisors, Public Safety Director David Johnson, and members of the Stray Winds Area Neighbors (SWAN). She noted that SWAN is a group dedicated to maintaining and improving the quality of life for all Township residents.

Ms. Mead explained that her family has been the unfortunate victim of extremely inconsiderate and disrespectful neighbors who feel that their rights to be as loud as they want to were more important than her rights to be left alone to live in peace and privacy. She explained that she does not want to be subjected to the loud revving and idling noises from one of their neighbor's cars, noises that disturbed her sleep, her ability to enjoy her family, and to be able to relax and live relatively stress free in her own home. She noted that the noise levels were loud enough to make her walls vibrate, and could be heard on the other side of her house and on all stories of her home. She explained; imagine living next door to a boom car, hearing that noise day in and out. She noted that the average reasonable person would find living in such conditions intolerable.

Ms. Mead noted as the Township increases its population, it is vital that we address this issue and be proactive. She explained that Susquehanna Township has an ordinance that is very similar to the proposed ordinance and one that is supported by its Chief of Police, Robert Martin.

Ms. Mead noted that some of people who have issues may not have been as vocal as she has or SWAN, but their right to live in peace and privacy are just as important. She noted that the proposed ordinance will provide the Police Department the authority to address consistent and persistent violations of the noise ordinance. She noted that the ordinance is not about noise police, or infringing on the rights of residents, noting that it will provide additional protection for Township residents. She noted that it provides the tools for police to keep the peace and ensure public safety. She noted that no one should have to go through what her family has gone through since March of 2008. She explained that there are others who have been adversely affected who will benefit from this updated ordinance.

Ms. Mead thanked the Board of Supervisors for their time, persistence, and dedication to these efforts, and a special thanks to Public Safety Director, David Johnson for his hard work and diligence.

Mr. Phillip Hoskins-Helm, 5901 Fox Street, noted that he commends the Board's efforts at addressing the issue, and noted that it is a fairly well crafted noise control ordinance, but he would like to express his concerns in two areas. He noted that he had a concern with the prima facie issue found in Section 126-16, where two corroborating neighbors can file a complaint with the magistrate. He noted that a complaint would not require a measured noise. He noted his other concern is regarding the basic normal human conversation, noting on a summer evening he can have a conversation on his back porch, and based on the National Institute of Health Standards, normal human conversation falls within the range of 50 to 65 decibels. He noted if he is on his porch at 10 p.m. having a conversation, he would be in violation if the decibel level was higher than 55. Mr. Hornung noted that the sound level is measured at the property line, noting that distance has a huge impact on noise level. He noted that someone would not be in violation of talking. Mr. Hoskins-Helm noted that it would depend on how close the houses are to each

other. Mr. Hornung noted if you are standing on the property line and screaming, it would be an issue. Mr. Hornung suggested that 65 decibels is a fairly loud conversation.

Mr. Hoskins- Helm noted that he appreciates the efforts that have gone into the preparation of the noise ordinance, and in most parts he agrees with it, however, he would ask that the normal human conversation unamplified sound levels be revisited. He suggested that there has to be a balancing act between violating someone's property and maintaining the right to enjoy your own.

Ms. Becky Meschervuxta, 802 Mountain View Street, noted that she wanted to reiterate the previous speakers concern for the prima facie section of the ordinance. She noted that the noise ordinance defines what the weighted measurement is in Section 125-3, and Section 125-5 provides the different sound levels that are acceptable, but then there is Section 125-16 noting a prima facie requirement. She noted that two residents, without measurement and any proof, can decide that their neighbors get to pay the \$1,000 fine. She noted that it takes away her due process, since she is not given an opportunity to have the noise measured, and there is no penalty to the two individuals who place false accusations. She noted they have lived in their neighborhood for 30 to 35 years, and she have two neighbors who do not like it when we sit out and have a normal conversation and visit with each other in each others yards. She explained that the Police have been called to her home at 6:30 p.m., during broad daylight, because we are outside talking. She noted that the Police have driven by multiple times and they state that they cannot hear their conversations and instructed her to continue doing what we are doing. She noted that she would like the Board to consider that two people can get together and decide that they are going to control the neighborhood and cause \$1,000 fines without measuring the sound levels, and would not be held accountable for their actions. She noted that there needs to be an equal fine for making a false report. She noted that she does not have a problem with the noise ordinance, only that the Township would be taking away her due process with the prima facie.

Mr. John Trish, 600 Prince Street, noted as far as prima facie is concerned, once the two individuals make their sworn testimony, they will have to show up in the court. He noted that for the last 20 years he has had a Memorial Day celebration at his home and anyone who has driven past his home knows it. He noted that he has never had a complaint, and a lot of what is being discussed is disorderly conduct. He noted that he does not understand why we need to separate one type of disorderly conduct for another. He noted as far as the boom boxes are concerned, yes, everyone has heard them, but now you are going to ask the Police Department to go out and measure every time someone makes some noise at night. He noted if you have a Bingo Hall, and they are loud, someone will complain. He noted that it does not make any sense to him. He noted that disorderly conduct is on the books, and he suggested that something could be added to that definition to stop obligating the officers to do more work than what is necessary. He noted that sometimes, at his place, it gets a little loud, but he had never had anyone complain against him. He noted if there are some individuals who are disorderly in this Township causing harm to another family, then they ought to be dealt with, but the entire Township should not be penalized because every now and then someone wants to have a good time or make a little noise.

Mr. Eric Shirley, 2409 Kensington Way, noting that he has been in the Township for 15 years, and has lived in his home for five years. He noted that he happened to move next to a neighbor who does not like kids or pretty much anybody. He noted that he has had the police called to his residence 35 times in the last three years. He noted, up to the last time, he was never cited, and of all those times, music was playing for only two of the calls. He noted, the other 33 times the kids were in the pool having a good time, during normal daylight hours, most of the time. He noted that he has concerns that the decibel levels are maybe extreme. He suggested that 10 p.m. is a little early for normal hours during the weekday, but suggested that 11 p.m. for working hours is somewhat reasonable. He suggested that there should be some exclusion for Friday and Saturday nights or the evening before a holiday. He suggested that a 65 decibel level

for a normal conversation tone is a little low, especially for daytime hours. He noted that he did not have a problem with nighttime hours, since people do need to be able to sleep, but during the day people should be able to enjoy their yards. He noted that unamplified human voice, that is included in the current ordinance as an exception during daylight hours is a very reasonable exclusion during daylight hours. He noted that a fine up to \$1,000 and or up to 90 days in prison is extremely excessive if not ridiculous. He noted that he agreed with the previous comment made about two neighbors corroborating a story. He suggested that two is a little bit low.

Mr. Linda Covage, 1811 Brookdale Road, agreed with what everyone is saying, and she is not much of a public speaker, but when it is an issue like this where it is affecting what is happening in her own backyard, she thinks that people should come out and say something, especially when it is so restrictive. She noted that she has teenagers and people out in her yard a lot during the night sitting around making mountain pies and laughing. She noted, once in awhile, for Halloween and certain occasions they line dance in the backyard and have fun. She noted that she has never had a complaint filed against her, but she does not want to lose her rights to do that. She questioned who set the decibel levels, she noted that she has a chart and 60 to 70 decibels is the rating for normal conversation. She noted that distance is mentioned, and many people live in townhouses and the only thing that separates them is a wooded structure. She noted for some apartment buildings, you can hear a toilet flush from the next apartment. She noted that there are many people who don't have one-acre yards, so to her this is discrimination for how close you live to others. She noted if you own a big property you can make a lot more noise, and that is not right. She noted that she is tired of being over regulated; every time you turn around there is something new. She noted that they are now making homeowners install water sprinklers in their homes, but no one takes into consideration how many people are going to file insurance claims for water damage in their houses. She noted every time you turn around there are new regulations, something else that infringes on your rights as a citizen, and she is

tired of being over-regulated. She noted for weekends and holidays, lots of people have huge cookouts on weekends and holidays, and 10 p.m. on a Friday and Saturday night is not very late. She suggested that the Township has opened a can of worms and will have a lot of problems with this.

Mr. Matt Bashore, 100 Heather Drive, questioned if the Board members live in the Township. Mr. Hawk answered that we have to. Mr. Bashore questioned if everyone spends time outside of your home in the Township, and if all of you, at some point, have violated one of these noise ordinances in the Township, maybe. He noted that he has children that he moved from Palmyra to buy a home in this Township three years ago. He noted this is ridiculous. He noted that he has two small children, they are loud. He noted that he has play sets that he built for them in his backyard. He noted that his backyard is fenced and he has two small dogs. He noted to say that his children or his dogs could, at some point, get him a \$1,000 fine or 90 days in jail, folks, these are your taxpayers, this is your tax base, we vote, we pay taxes, we own property. He noted that he supports a lot of what the Board does, but this is not one that he supports, it is draconian. He noted to have two individuals that are home, one couple that just decide that they hate everybody; well they are going to make life miserable for ten, twenty homes. He noted that the police staff that is already overburdened and undermanned from what he can see have more important things to worry about. He noted the first violent crime that occurs while police officers are dealing with one of these complaints, I would say, they have a right to sue the Township because that officer's time and effort, our tax money, is being spent on frivolous nonsense that a grown individual should be able to deal with themselves. He noted that you have laws on the books to deal with public disturbance and violent crime; if someone's rights or property line is being accosted, you have all those on the books. He noted that you have sufficient officers and their time is valuable. He noted that he does not want somebody not being able to get to his family to service my family in my time of need because they are out dealing with a noise

violation on some dog at 11:01 p.m. at night. He noted that this should not be the norm the Thursday before the Fourth of July. He noted that people get together and make noise, we have friends, people talk to neighbors, this is what builds a community and what this ordinance is doing is going to tear this community apart. He noted that you will have people who will make it their business to make life miserable for others. He noted if you have a pool and this passes, you better pull the kids out at 10 pm at night. If you have teenage children and they have a car you better make sure they don't drive it after 10 pm at night or work on it in their driveway after 10 pm. at night. He noted maybe even in the daytime.

Mr. Bashore questioned the Board if they are buying equipment for the officers to enforce if it passes, is that correct. Mr. Blain answered yes. He noted that his tax money would go for part of that, correct. Mr. Blain answered yes. Mr. Bashore suggested that the people who are supporting this should be made to pay for the decibel meters. He noted that he does not support this. He noted that reasonable people such as the Board members can see that this is not something that will benefit the broader spectrum of your constituency.

Mr. Wayne Clark, 4565 Berkley Street, noted that he just heard about this a few days ago and he did not have time to read the law. He noted that his first question is, is there any scenario under which children can be prosecuted under this law, having to be juvenile offenders after 10 pm. Mr. Wolfe answered that the homeowner is the responsible party, children would not be prosecuted. Mr. Clark questioned if the boyfriend rolls up to meet the girlfriend, and has the radio blaring in the driveway, who gets cited then. Mr. Wolfe answered that it would be the owner of the vehicle. Mr. Clark questioned if the police would protect the neighborhood from cars that are nosey as they go by. He noted that there are a couple of pickup trucks in his neighborhood, noting that he has lived there for five years, and they roll by every Saturday when he is trying to catch a nap and it is as loud as heck. He noted that he is not seeing a whole lot of enforcement with the motor vehicle code in his neighborhood. He noted that he does not know

what the lady went through in her neighborhood, but it sounds like she went through a pretty tough time or otherwise she would not be here.

Mr. Clark explained that he is a musician, teaching guitar and base at Forte Music, noting that he makes about \$25,000 a year. He noted that he dabbles in drums, and usually plays drums after work around 9 pm, sometimes 10 pm. He noted, about a month ago, he was noodling on his drum set at 11 pm and he heard the doorbell ring. He explained that he answered the door to find a police officer, explaining that he received a complaint from the next door neighbor that she could hear his drums. He noted that the police officer stated that he couldn't hear the drums. He noted that the police officer requested that he improve his sound proofing so that he did not bother the neighbor. He noted that is what he did, and she had every reason to call the police on him since her children could not sleep. He noted that an officer came to his house and explained in a very nice way that he should improve his soundproofing which he did. He then went to his neighbor and tested the sound level and she was unable to hear his drums. He noted that he settled the problem with his neighbor and his opinion of the police exploded expedientially as the police officer was very reasonable. He noted that he was able to remedy the situation with his neighbor, no \$1,000 fine, and no 90-days in jail. He questioned if you put someone in jail for 90 days, will they have a job when they get out of jail, for making noise after 10 pm. He noted that is unacceptable.

Mr. Scott Reigle, 4106 Lisa Drive, agreed with what everyone is saying, but he would like to add that being a homeowner in the Township, for the past several years, he has designed his life around staycationing. He noted that he can't afford to go to places anymore, as the economy has given him a hit. He noted that he is doing everything he can to enjoy his family in his home. He noted if his family is penalized for enjoying themselves where they live, we're going to stop spending as much money in the Township at all the vendors that we frequent for our food, for all our party supplies and that stuff. He noted that it is an economic loss to limit

people to what they can do as a generalization. He noted if you have individual problems, you deal with it as individuals, and the Township has been good at doing that. He noted that he has been fortunate that he has not had problems with his neighbors and if he did, he hoped that they would be neighborly enough to handle it between themselves, without the intervention of the Township. He noted that it was best summed up in a Star Trek movie by Mr. Spock, “The good of the few or the one does not out weigh the good of the many.” He noted if you take a sampling of the people who are here as a percentage of the Township you will find, in my belief, that most of the people in the Township are against the ordinance and it should be scrapped.

Mr. Eric Epstein, Chairman of the SWAN organization, stated that he is evil incarnate. He noted that he is the person responsible for the ordinance. He questioned why he would spend two years torturing my fellow Township residents. He noted that he talked to people, a lot of people, the Chief of Police for Susquehanna Township, and Public Safety Director, David Johnson. He noted that the ordinance is almost identical to the one in Susquehanna Township, and the draconian scenario that you are painting has never happened in Susquehanna Township. He noted that he has worked with the Chief and Public Safety Director on the development of this ordinance. He noted that the people in the audience who challenged the Board about purchasing decibel meters did not know that he previously stated that he would purchase the meters for the Township, and he is on record as donating the meters. He noted if anyone needs to call him his phone number is 541-1101 or email him at [Epstein@EMFR.org](mailto:Epstein@EMFR.org). He explained that he does not hide from anyone.

Mr. Epstein explained that the demographics for the Township are changing, with nearly 48,000 residents. He noted that he was born and raised in the Township, and it was a rural Township. He noted that there are more people living closer together, there is more age-restricted units, senior citizens who borderline being terrorized, not by noise, but with the fear of going outside of their home. He questioned, are we going to deal with boom boxes, I don't know.

There are many people who work different shifts; it's not the same world that we grew up in. It is not "Ozzie and Harriet" anymore, people are not as civil as they should be. He explained that he lives in a great neighborhood, and he has the best sledding hill in the Township. He noted that he lets the kids sled as long as they want to, and when he tells them it is time to go home, they go home. He noted that other people are not that generous and gracious, and times have changed. He noted when he hears people use terms like, "noise police" it actually undermines the faith in our own police. He noted that every action that he has had with the police as the people have demonstrated has been very reasonable, they are referees. He noted that he worked in the prison system for six years, and you are not going to jail for 90 days and no one can afford to send you to jail for 90 days. He noted that you will not be fined \$1,000; it's on the books. He noted that everyone has violated the decibel level, sure. He noted that is why you have police officers make an informed judgment, noting what Mr. Clark spoke to earlier. He noted that everyone in this room has exceeded the speed limit, and he knows what the maximum fine for the speed limit and jail sentences are, but no one ever gets the maximum jail sentence. He noted sometimes they do get the fine depending on the circumstances, however, that is not the norm. He noted that everyone in this room has driven through a stop sign or littered, but they have not been fined. He noted these are the bands, and they are not likely to happen, and even if you are adjudicated, you still have to cross the barrier of being convicted, which again, is not likely. He noted if you look at the conviction rates when it comes to noise ordinance, it is not a slam dunk. He noted that it is an additional tool for a changing society.

Mr. Epstein explained that he stands by the noise ordinance, and he understands the audience's reaction. He noted that he spent two years talking to a lot of people, and it was crafted over and over, and no one came to the meetings. He noted that he worked diligently for two years responding to concerns that he got from residents, not just two residents, but a lot of residents. He noted that The Paxton Herald is free to print whatever they want. He noted that

some people feel that they are over regulated, but other people may feel differently when it comes to Marcellus Shale. He noted that it is a process, a two-year process involving Chief Martin and PSD Johnson, meeting repeatedly, and after field testing noise, the decibel levels were raised. He noted that there are some ambient noises that are higher than others. He noted if you live by a highway it is higher. He requested the audience to contact Susquehanna Township whose ordinance is almost identical, and look at the number of incidents that were filed. He noted that no one went to prison, no noise police, and it is not the doomsday scenario. He noted that he stands by the work that he has done for the past two years and the input that he collected from people around the Township. He noted if it is scrapped, he will come back and find a new way to approach it, but to ignore emerging trends that are created by noise, is not a responsible way to deal with the issue.

Mr. John Trish, 600 Prince Street, noted that Mr. Epstein was very eloquent in his speech, but he does not agree with him in this instance. He noted that the bottom line is that the people who are in attendance tonight, except for two, have spoken against the ordinance. He noted that the Board members were elected to represent us, and we are here telling you what we want you to do, and if we have to baby-sit you everyday, I don't think that is going to work. He noted if the system works the way it supposed to, I would be sitting in my living room, drinking my coffee, finishing my dinner watching you. He noted that the bottom line is you guys don't need babysitters, and as far as the number of people who SWAN spoke to, I'd like to see the list. He noted that he would like to see the results of the sound levels. He noted that the bottom line is that we are here as citizens saying we don't want it.

Mr. Phillip Hoskins-Helm, 5901 Fox Street, noted that he is not in favor of the ordinance as it is written, and as far as where he has been for the last two years, four or five months ago he called to get a copy of the ordinance and was told to wait until it was on the web site.

Mr. Matt Bashore, 100 Heather Drive, noted that SWAN did not come to my doorstep and ask me my opinion of this. He questioned how many people in this room were contacted. He suggested that SWAN had a small percentage of Township residents that they wanted to use to skew their findings.

Mr. Wayne Clark, 4565 Berkley Street, he noted to Mr. Evil Incarnate, this is not funny. He noted just because a bad law was passed in another town, doesn't mean we have to pass a bad law in this town. He noted that he has not heard a satisfactory explanation of why the current statute is not sufficient to protect people.

Ms. Lisa Reigle, 2106 Lisa Drive, noted that she knows Mr. Evil and she has dealt with him before. She noted as far as Mr. Epstein stating that no one has been arrested and no one will be going to jail, then why are we doing this. She noted that no one came to my door and asked her how she felt about this, and she noted that no one came to any one else's door. She noted, it is like her husband said, we do respect our neighbors, and we will ask them, and we have a very good neighborhood. I'm sorry ma'am, (referring the Tara Mead) that you went through the problems that you went through, maybe you need to talk to your neighbors, I don't know, but why should we suffer because you are suffering. (Ms. Mead stated that it isn't about me.) Ms. Reigle, noted, well, whoever it is about. She noted that all she is saying is one, two or three persons in the Township suffer; we all got to suffer for whatever. She noted that she has kids and a swimming pool and they party after 10 pm. She noted that she has all kinds of stuff on our deck and we respect our neighbors, we understand they have young kids and we say, hey, they come over and join us, they have no problem. She noted that our rules are after 11 p.m., we will have no loudness and we don't. She noted that Mr. Epstein stated that no one will enforce this, Susquehanna Township doesn't enforce it; I'm not Susquehanna Township, I'm Lower Paxton. She noted that she pays her taxes; she has lived here for 18 years. She noted that we are going to have our police officers, which she loves and respects, go check on these little ordinances, who's

loud, when we had 20 thefts in our neighborhood in Forest Hills and she still hasn't heard if anybody got caught. She noted these are the things the police officers should be doing, not checking on an ordinance because some kid is screaming because they won a bingo game on my deck. She noted, "We are not really enforcing it", well what are you doing then. (Mr. Epstein stated that he did not say that, rather to the maximum penalty.) Ms. Reigle noted that I know you, I've dealt with you. So now you are telling us when we put this into effect, we will not fine you, you're not going to jail for 90 days. (Mr. Epstein stated that it is unlikely.) Ms. Reigle noted so we are going to waste a police officer's time, we are going to get everyone riled up in the neighborhood, and do nothing anymore because no one can afford to go away. She noted that we sit out on our deck and have a good time and now we have to.. that I pay taxes for and pay a good amount of money for my house that I'm living in that I can't even go out and party on my deck and my pool because somebody is disturbed. She noted that she will work it out with her neighbor. She noted that I don't think anyone on this Board, and I respect all you on the Board, I don't think anyone on the Board should tell any of us that pay good money for our homes that we have to watch what we do at a certain hour. She noted that all of us are grown up and have common sense enough to know, I would think that they respect their neighbors and I would hope that everybody would. (Ms. Mead noted that not everyone does.) Ms. Reigle noted that we have to suffer for everyone else that doesn't. (Ms. Mead stated that she would speak with Ms. Reigle later and explain to her everything that has happened to her.) Ms. Reigle stated, you know what..... Mr. Hornung stepped in to stop the conversation.

Mr. Eric Epstein noted that this is a serious matter and that is why it took two years to do it. He explained that he did not go to anyone's door, he had 16 SWAN meetings, and through the due diligence a number of issues trickled in. He noted that there are 48,000 people in this Township, and he stated that the ordinance in Susquehanna Township has been effective. He noted that the penalties that people think are going to happen, could, however, it is very unlikely

that they will happen. He noted that there are regulations for speeding and stop signs as a deterrent, but it is unusual that they would be implemented.

Mr. Epstein noted that the decibel levels were tested by the Police Department, not SWAN. He noted that the officer's field tested the decibel levels. He noted that the problem with the current ordinance is enforcement, the inability to enforce it. He noted that is essentially what this is about, noting that people have a lot more to do than to go out and police noise. He noted that it is a tool in the Police Department's toolbox to use. He suggested that it is very unlikely that anyone would go to jail, and it is highly unlikely that they would receive the maximum fine, but there may be someone who is excessive and abusive and that occurs. He noted that he stands by his committee to purchase the decibel meters for the Township, noting that his business is radiation monitoring equipment so not only do you need to buy the equipment, the officers must be trained, and the equipment must be calibrated as well. He noted that it is very difficult to catch someone in the act. He noted there are going to be people who abuse it, yes it happens to every law and ordinance, but it does not mean it is a bad one and you shouldn't do it. He noted that he would be happy to speak with folks after the meeting, but to think we would spend two years to put something together to be vengeful or overregulated. He noted that was not the motivation.

Mr. Wayne Clark questioned why the current law is not sufficient to protect the neighbors. He noted that he explained his scenario, noting that the police officer came to his house and he could have written him a ticket. He noted that he did not hear the drums and it was after 11 pm. He noted that he does not understand the \$1,000 fine or the 90 days in jail. He questioned if the people thought that he would continue to play the drums if he had to pay a \$250 fine. Mr. Epstein explained that the fine is a deterrent, but it might be used in extreme cases. He questioned Mr. Clark if he thought someone would be fined that amount. He noted that it is on the books as a deterrent as there are isolated cases for when people abuse the law. He noted in

those cases, he has faith in the police and the court system to use the maximum tool. He noted that you do not need a hammer to kill a gnat.

Mr. Scott Reigle, 4106 Lisa Drive, noted that he understands that the ordinance mirrors Susquehanna Township's and that they haven't had any problems since then, but born and raised in this Township, he has chosen to continue to live in this Township because of the unobtrusiveness of the local government. He noted if almost every surrounding municipality starts passing things like this, then Susquehanna Township starts looking a little better.

Ms. Linda Covage, 1811 Brookdale Road questioned where this ordinance was advertised. She noted that she did not hear about this until five months ago. She noted that you may have been working on it for two years, but no one has heard of it. She noted that she printed the ordinance and walked two neighborhoods, and passed out about 75 copies of the ordinance to have people look at them and not one of them ever heard of the ordinance. She noted that she did not find one person who agreed with the ordinance. She noted that she was told that this was nuts, and they questioned who agreed to this. She noted that this was the response that she got after talking to 75 people in Fairview and Colonial Estates. She noted that no one knows about it and she questioned how it was advertised. She noted that she did not see the public hearing advertised in The Patriot-News. She noted that you are not getting the word out and no one knows about it, and you need to do a better job when you get something like this that will affect so many people to advertise it and get the word out. She noted if everyone on the Township knew about this ordinance you would have people standing outside because nobody agrees with it.

Mr. Stine noted that seeing no further response it would be appropriate to close the public comment. (The hearing ended at 9:05 pm.)

Mr. Hawk noted that the purpose of the meeting was to listen to your comments, noting that no vote will be taken this evening. He noted that it would be postponed until a future date

when all Board members are present. He noted that the earliest possible date would be sometime in May. He noted, in the meantime, the Board members will take into account the comments made during the meeting and discuss and review them. He noted that the new date would be advertised. Mr. Wolfe noted, if the Board decides to take action on the noise ordinance, you would need to advertise the date, time of the public meeting, and it would be sometime in May.

Mr. Hornung questioned what newspaper this would be advertised in. Mr. Wolfe answered that the public notice was advertised in The Paxton Herald and the ordinance is on the Township's website, and is available at the Municipal Center.

Mr. Hornung told those in attendance if you want to know what is going on in the Township to go to the Township website.

Mr. Hornung explained that very few people get involved in government. He noted that Ms. Consevage talked to 75 people, but how many showed up at the meeting. He noted that he is always disheartened; he loves when a group of people come out to meetings, this is America, this is government, this is how it should be run. He noted the unfortunately, Mr. Eric Epstein spent hours and hours of his own personal time, to try to craft things and put it together. He noted the more input the better and he suggested that those present should get on his organization. He noted that SWAN is a great organization, and everything the Board approves usually goes through SWAN and they review it. He noted that the people need to get involved in their government, on a daily basis, or weekly basis, or monthly basis. He noted that people should not come out at the last minute and yell and scream at us. He noted that the Board tries to do its best too. He noted that they don't get paid a lot to do this job. He noted that we try to do our best, and we want to listen to you and he loves it when people come out, even when they argue and scream, he still likes it. He noted that it is better than nobody in the audience. He noted that there is the Central Dauphin School District (CDSD), get involved. He noted if you want a better school system, get involved. He noted to sit there and yell and scream, one time in a year is not

involved, that is abusive. He noted if you want to change the world, get out, get off the sofa, and get involved. He noted that you can't change things sitting on your couch. He noted that it takes concerted effort and time, but you all will get a better government. He noted that he wanted to thank all the people for coming out.

Mr. Ken Parmer, 4292 South Carolina Drive, noted for the people in here, and he did not know how he got on the email list, but the guy that does the Linglestown Gazette, if it hadn't been for him, he wouldn't have had a copy of this thing. He questioned who is going onto the Township's website on a regular basis, and he explained that he was on the website looking for it, trying to get a copy of the current ordinance, and there was no way that he could find it. He noted that he could not pull up the current ordinance to review. He questioned if there was a way to do it on the site. He noted that all he got was that it went around in circles to get the code for the old noise ordinance. He questioned if it can be pulled up or must he come in to the Municipal Center. Mr. Wolfe explained that you can do both. Mr. Hornung noted if you would call the Township, staff would help you. He noted that you can't do it two hours before the meeting; you must be involved on a regular basis.

Mr. Parmer noted that the SWAN Committee gets together on a monthly basis but for the Township as a whole to know when they are meeting and what they are meeting about, or when you guys have your workshop, to know what is going on, they would have to look at the agenda which is posted the Friday before the Tuesday. He noted that is not a lot of time if things are going on. He noted that he is concerned about kids playing in their yard or in their pool and the parents being arrested because of it.

Mr. Hawk noted, in regards to the agenda, in many instances, things come up unexpectedly and you don't have the opportunity to prepare an entire month's agenda 30 days in advance. He noted that many people will come in with a request at the last moment, particularly for workshop sessions. He noted that it is very difficult to prepare an agenda and get it out that

much in advance. He noted that Mr. Wolfe tries to post everything through advertisement in The Paxton Herald, on the website, and it is available for everybody. He noted that Mr. Wolfe was displaying on the screen how to find the information that Mr. Palmer was asking about. He noted that we encourage you to get involved.

Mr. Hornung noted that the second Tuesday of each month, the Board conducts a workshop session. He noted that people should review the agenda on Fridays to see if there is anything of interest and come to provide your comments to the Board members. Mr. Wolfe displayed a calendar that shows the agenda for the meetings. He noted that he encourages people to come into the workshop sessions.

Mr. Clark questioned if there is a way to submit comments online. Mr. Hornung noted that you can email the Board. He noted that the Board received an email in support of the noise ordinance today. He noted you can make comments even if you can't make it to a meeting. He noted that the Board tries to be as accessible as possible to everyone, and that the people should come out and get involved. He noted that Mr. Trish is here all the time. Mr. Trish noted that is because he can't hear the Board at home.

A question was asked if the Board would take time to reconsider the noise ordinance as it was written. Mr. Hornung noted that the Board always does that. Mr. Hawk noted that is why we hold the public hearings to get the input from the public and the comments are being written down and they will be reviewed. He noted that the Board puts in a measurable amount of time in workshop sessions, going out to looking at different projects, reading the agenda material, and in conversations with Mr. Wolfe and Mr. Stine in order to prepare themselves to keep on top on what is going on in the Township. He thanked those people who came out and made comments, noting that he will review those comments and re-advertise the ordinance for May. He suggested that we need to put a motion on the floor to take action for a future date. He suggested that May 16<sup>th</sup> may be an appropriate date.

Mr. Seeds noted that he wanted to thank the people for coming out and explained that he loves it when people come to the meetings. He noted that the Board needs your input and we are here to represent you and we try to do that to the best of our ability. He suggested that SWAN could invite input from the people present by having a special meeting to discuss this topic. He suggested that the Board needs to take another look at the ordinance, and he noted that he does not want to set a specific date for a vote at this time. He noted that he needs to do some homework, and SWAN needs to do some homework, and people need to get together to take another look at the ordinance. He noted that he does not want to set a date tonight.

Mr. Scott Reigle noted that he appreciates what the Board does for the Township and he has been relatively happy with everything it has decided to do for us in the past, but if you listened a little closer, he did not think that anyone was yelling or screaming, just because they disagreed with something the Board was proposing. Mr. Hornung suggested that the decibel level was a little high. He noted that just because we disagree with what you are proposing does not mean that we are yelling or screaming, it means we are trying to become involved. Mr. Hornung noted that he objected to some of the name calling. He noted that he realizes that you can get loud, but sometimes he gets hurt in that it is so inaccurate.

Mr. Hawk noted that he appreciates that the people came out to make comments. He noted that the Board will review the comments and try to work it out so that it is a win/win situation for all. A question was asked if a motion would be passed for action on the noise ordinance in May. Mr. Hawk noted that Mr. Seeds does not want to make a date specific motion. He noted that the next meeting would have to be advertised if they are going to take action. Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board is scheduled to take action on April 19, 2011 and he would need direction to cancel the advertisement or reschedule.

Mr. Seeds made a motion to cancel the action on the noise ordinance advertised for April 19, 2011. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and a unanimous vote followed.

Mr. Hawk noted that the noise ordinance would be advertised for a future time.

A question was asked if the status of the ordinance would be draft status or is it an ordinance that would be voted on as is. Mr. Hawk answered that that decision has not been made yet. He noted that the Board would take a look at the comments and if that means modification or whatever, more review is needed. Mr. Seeds noted that SWAN and the Board can have more meetings on this item. Mr. Epstein noted that he could schedule a special meeting for this topic.

Mr. Hornung noted that Ted Robertson and Watson Fisher attend every Board meeting, and they come out and they are used as a sounding board for certain items. He noted that is what government is all about. Mr. Hawk noted that we can all disagree, but we don't have to be disagreeable. Mr. Seeds noted that is what America is all about.

Mr. Mark Levine, SWAN, noted if anyone is interested in attending a SWAN meeting, you can look up Stray Winds Area Neighbors on the website. He noted that Mr. Robertson and Mr. Watson take excellent notes when it comes to the Township meetings. He noted that their notes are posted on the SWAN website and the Township minutes are very comprehensive. He noted that SWAN does a lot of work with developers in making sure that the developers are doing what they should be doing. He noted that the Linglestown Gazette and Stray Winds Area Neighborhood websites are good sources for information.

## **NEW BUSINESS**

### **IMPROVEMENT GUARANTEES**

Mr. Hawk noted that there were five Improvement Guarantees.

#### Kendale Oaks, Phase V

An extension and 10% increase in a letter of credit with Peoples Bank, in the amount of \$169,679.87, with an expiration date of April 5, 2012.

#### Kendale Oaks, Phase I

An extension and 10% increase in an escrow with Lower Paxton Township, in the amount of \$26,933.37, with an expiration date of April 5, 2012.

#### Wyndhurst Manor, Phase 3

An extension and 10% increase in a bond with Developers Surety and Indemnity Company, in the amount of \$157,542.00, with an expiration date of October 5, 2011.

#### Chateau Woods – Kings Pointe

An extension and 10% increase in a letter of credit with Integrity Bank, in the amount of \$11,475.97, with an expiration date of April 5, 2012. (Changed to October 5, 2011.)

#### Mid-Penn Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery

An extension and 10% increase in a letter of credit with First National Bank, in the amount of \$104,390.00, with an expiration date of April 5, 2012.

Mr. Seeds noted that Wyndhurst Manor, Phase 3 was reduced to six months for completion. He noted that he would like to reduce the improvement guarantee for Chateau Woods, Kings Points to six months as well with an expiration date of October 5, 2011. He noted that minor work to a retention basin needs to be completed. He explained that two years ago the Board provided a six-month extension, but the work was not completed, and somehow the Board provided another year extension, but now he would like the work completed by October 5, 2011.

Mr. Seeds made a motion to approve the five listed improvement agreements as presented with a change to Chateau Woods' expiration date of October 5, 2011. Mr. Blain seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

### **Payment of Bills**

Mr. Seeds made a motion to pay the bills of Lower Paxton Township and Lower Paxton Township Authority. Mr. Blain seconded the motion, and a unanimous vote followed.

### **Public Comment**

Dorothy Boyanowski, 6076 Linglestown Road, noted that she is present to request the return of her driveway. She noted that she was contacted numerous times to give up access to her driveway for the reconstruction project of Linglestown. She noted that she was adamantly opposed to this idea, and the Township offered to pay her for the part of her property that they wanted and she continually said no. She noted that the Township said that she could donate it to them or they could pay her for it, but one way or the other they would obtain the property, and if necessary by eminent domain. She noted that she has a permanent handicapped parking permit and feel that she needs her driveway. She noted that she did sign the papers and she regrets that she did it. She noted after the project was done, she found that her property looked like the only one in the town that has lost its driveway. She noted that it has been brought to her attention by several neighbors that after the contract was signed to have the project done, one of her neighbors received a double-wide driveway. She explained, in order to open her driveway, only one car width of the curbing would have to be removed at the very end of the parallel parking spaces. She noted that she spoke to the ADA and they advised her to come to the meeting and if the Township refuses to assist her in having her driveway reopened, they will help her.

Mr. Seeds noted that he is a neighbor of Mrs. Boyanowski, and this is the first that he has heard of this. Mr. Seeds noted that Mrs. Boyanowski noted that everyone who had a driveway prior to the start of construction still has one. Mrs. Boyanowski stated that she thought that was

correct. He noted that he would be at her home tomorrow to look at the situation. He suggested that this is the first that any Board member or Mr. Wolfe has heard about this. He noted that anyone who had a driveway prior to the construction was to receive a driveway. She noted that his next door neighbor purchased her home a year ago, and decided to put in a driveway and met with PENNDOT. She noted that they had to get a highway occupancy permit from PENNDOT because it is a state road. Mr. Seeds questioned why if you had the driveway before you don't have it now. He noted that we will be looking at that. Mrs. Boyanowski noted that they kept calling her telling her that they were going to take it one way or the other and she stated that it is not fair. She noted that she needs the driveway since she has a handicap. Mr. Seeds noted that she has parallel parking in front of her home. Mr. Seeds requested Mrs. Boyanowski to provide her phone number to the secretary.

Ms. Boyanowski noted that she wanted to know why the curb is so high that when you park your car along the curb and open your door it rubs against the sidewalk. Mr. Blain explained that the final wearing surface coat has not been put down on the road yet. He noted that three or four more inches of asphalt will be placed on the roadway.

Ms. Boyanowski noted that alley behind her home has not been paved. She noted that all the other alleys have been paved which is helping with all the detouring that is taking place. Mr. Seeds explained that a petition was filed to the Township by the residents to have the Township accept Blackberry Alley as a public street. He noted that the other three alleys were paved and accepted by the Township because the residents petition for it to be accepted as a Township road. He noted that the Township was able to get a grant to pay for the paving of the three alleys. He noted that the Board has not accepted the eastern section of Blackberry Alley yet and there is no money to pay for the paving of the alley. He suggested that Mr. Wolfe may try to apply for additional funds to pave the alley and if we receive a grant we will then consider taking over the alley. He noted that the alley does not have a right-of-way access to Linglestown Road.

Mr. Seeds noted that there is a committee called the Village of Linglestown and they meet on the third Thursday of every month at the Linglestown Fire House. He noted that most of the residents have learned to bring their concerns to that Committee. He noted that the Committee then addresses the concerns to Mr. Wolfe. He noted that they are the listening board and a go-between for the people of Linglestown and the Board of Supervisors. He suggested that Mrs. Boyanowski should keep that in mind as well. He noted that they meet at 7 p.m. in the front room.

Mr. Seeds noted that it is one of his goals to get Blackberry Alley accepted as a Township street. He noted that it takes money to do that.

### **Adjournment**

There being no further business, Mr. Blain made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Blain seconded the motion, and the meeting adjourned at 9:37 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Maureen Heberle  
Recording Secretary

Approved by,

Gary A. Crissman  
Township Secretary