

LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of Board Meeting held October 6, 2015

The business meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Lower Paxton Township was called to order at 7:31 p.m. by Chairman William B. Hawk, on the above date, in the Lower Paxton Township Municipal Center, 425 Prince Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Supervisors present in addition to Mr. Hawk were: William C. Seeds, Sr., William L. Hornung, Gary A. Crissman, and Robin L. Lindsey.

Also in attendance was George Wolfe, Township Manager; Steve Stine, Township Solicitor; Attorney Brian Carter, Johnson, Duffie, Stewart and Weidner; and Watson Fisher, SWAN.

Pledge of Allegiance

Ms. Lindsey led in the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the workshop meeting minutes of September 8, 2015 and the September 15, 2015 business meeting minutes. Ms. Lindsey seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Public Comment

Mr. Hawk noted that the Board allows a limited amount of time for comment. Mr. Hawk questioned Ms. Jennifer Maurer if she wanted to do a presentation on the Revere Street issue. Ms. Maurer noted that she has a power point presentation prepared. Mr. Hawk suggested that the Board has a full agenda meeting and requested Ms. Maurer to come to a workshop meeting to discuss the issue. Ms. Maurer noted that she has a temporal concern as they are taking houses. Ms. Lindsey requested Ms. Maurer to go to the podium.

Ms. Jennifer Maurer noted she is present to discuss the traffic issues in her neighborhood, specifically how it is impacted by the construction of the I-83 road widening project. She noted that it will impact their local roadways. Mr. Hawk noted that he understands that but he also understands that Ms. Maurer has a 30 minute presentation. Ms. Maurer noted that she can pare it down; she wants the Board to understand the problem. She noted if you live in our neighborhood you would understand the problem, and she can't get anyone to understand their problem. Mr. Hawk requested Ms. Maurer to shorten her presentation as 30 minutes is too long. Ms. Maurer noted that she can do it in ten minutes.

Mr. Crissman explained if we were to do this in a workshop session, it would give Mr. Wolfe time to see if we could have a representative from PennDOT come to the meeting. He noted that they are directly involved with this project and we are only a subsidiary of it. He noted that you can share your concerns with the Board and it can be empathic but it is unable to do little since it is PennDOT's project. He noted that his suggestion is if the Township can be successful with having a member from PennDOT attend a meeting that is the person we collectively need to talk with. Ms. Lindsey noted they are the ones making all the decisions.

Ms. Maurer noted that there is a Township issue that you must interface with PennDOT for the most part.

Ms. Mary Lou Gallagher noted that PennDOT stated that it is a Township issue and we are going back and forth with this. Ms. Maurer noted that she has sent PennDOT at least three letters and they state that it is a Township issue. Ms. Lindsey noted that we need to have Mr. Wolfe contact PennDOT as they are the ones making the decisions, as we did not make the decision to widen the roadway.

Ms. Maurer noted that it is not a widening road issue, it is a traffic issue that is being exasperated by the widening. She noted that PennDOT says it is a Township issue and the

Township is saying that it is not a Township issue. Mr. Hawk noted if you can make your comments in ten minutes that is fine, otherwise the Board needs to move on.

Ms. Maurer noted that she lives at 4041 Lexington Street that is bordered by Route 22 and Locust Lane. She noted that there are only a few sidewalks in the area, no street lights with on-street parking and lot of kids. She noted that we have people in our neighborhood walking around and riding bikes. She explained that the traffic issue is coming to a head because we have had band aid after band aids applied to the traffic issue. She noted that her neighborhood is a thoroughfare to other parts of the community, noting that traffic goes from Route 22 to Locust Lane to areas south of Locust Lane, such as the PinnacleHealth Osteopathic Hospital and all the apartments and the townhomes in that area. She noted that her neighborhood is a cut-through for all that traffic.

Ms. Maurer noted over the years the roads have been changed for the way that traffic can move; but it only diverts traffic into different streets into parts of the neighborhood. She noted that we are getting people at high rates of speed and high volumes especially at rush hours or if there is a traffic jam. She noted that in 2001, Franklin Street, which is the largest street to go from Route 22 to Locust Lane, required a left turn at Locust lane that was near a steep hill causing many accidents. She noted after the police conducted a study on Franklin Street, not a P.E. conducted study for engineering standards, they closed Franklin Street. She noted that she has issues with the study but the outcome of that was two-way traffic was stopped at Concord and the traffic could not access Locust Lane by way of Franklin Street. She noted that the people on Concord Street complained about all the traffic so the barrier was moved back to Little Street. She noted that the traffic from Route 22 had to turn left or right on Little Street and spill into the neighborhood, using Madison Street to access Locust Lane. She noted that the barrier behind Dunkin Donuts was a plastic barrel and had horses, it was a temporary barricade. She noted that

there was a petition to move the barrier from Little Street to Lexington Street. She explained that this petition was not passed to anyone in her area, only the people close to Franklin and Lexington Streets signed the petition. She noted that the result was that the barrier was moved to Lexington Street in 2014. She noted that it was a permanent barrier with a high curb. She explained that traffic coming into the neighborhood had to go left or right on Little or Lexington Streets. She noted that Lexington Street is a larger street than Little Street but it is not lined and some of it has curbing and there is parking on both sides. She noted that traffic is filling into our neighborhood as a result of the barrier and now we have traffic coming out Madison Street and also up Lexington Street. She noted since the permanent barrier was installed, there has been at least two accidents at the intersection. She noted that she lives at the corner of Lexington and Madison Streets.

Ms. Maurer explained what set this off in the neighborhood, was in 2014, PennDOT stated it was going to build additional lanes on I-83 and there was a lot of complaining about the traffic in their neighborhood.

Ms. Maurer noted that PennDOT was to make Revere Street that it parallels the highway, a one-way street. She noted that we don't want to invite more traffic into our neighborhood. She noted that this will make it worse. She noted that after PennDOT heard the complaints during the fall meeting in 2014, they got a notecard in the spring of 2015, saying that PennDOT was planning on taking the houses that are located on Revere Street and would build a new Revere Street that will be built to code. She noted that it is not a good idea as it would cement the thoroughfare through the neighborhood. She noted that the traffic will zoom down Lexington Street to get to the new Revere Street. She noted that nothing has been improved as there will still be traffic coming through their neighborhood. She noted that Revere Street will be larger than any road in the neighborhood. She noted that none of the current roads have lines, but the

new one will have lines. She noted that her concern is that it will be larger than any road in the neighborhood and no traffic impact study has been done by PennDOT. She explained that the response from PennDOT is that they are taking away the houses so there will be less traffic. She noted that the traffic in their neighborhood is not coming from Revere Street, it is going through the neighborhood to points south. She noted that taking the homes will not help with the traffic problems as their concern is a giant new road that will be built. She noted that it is not appropriate, and they question who is being served by the new road. She noted that it is no one in the community as the houses will be torn down. She noted that the alley behind the homes will be retained, so they don't know who the road will serve. She questioned if the money that is going to be used to make the new road could be used for other issues, like a light at Franklin Street and Locust Lane or putting a service road that could connect Route 22 to Locust Lane. She noted that they don't want a new Revere Street.

Mr. Seeds noted that he understands a lot of Ms. Maurer's concerns, noting that you would like to have the speed limit lowered. He questioned if the police have looked at that. Mr. Wolfe answered that there have been speed studies in the past with nothing that indicated a reduction in speed is necessitated. Mr. Seeds noted that it took the people in Linglestown years to get PennDOT to agree to lower the speed limit on Linglestown Road. He noted that we can look at that. He noted for the Franklin Street problem, maybe the Board along with your group could ask PennDOT to correct the over-vertical on Locust Lane, the reason for the one-way traffic on Franklin Street.

Ms. Maurer questioned if we need the new road and could the money be better spent. The people in the crowd all stated no, they don't need the new road. Mr. Seeds noted that it is not a decision this Board can make.

Mr. Hawk noted that you would be better served if you came to a workshop session. Ms. Maurer noted that she would be happy to come to a workshop session. Mr. Hawk noted that the Board would be better prepared and possibly have a representative from PennDOT who could answer the questions.

Ms. Maurer noted that they are going to be taking the houses in the near future. She noted that it is very important to her and her neighbors.

Mr. Seeds questioned if we could have PennDOT meet with the neighbors again. Mr. Wolfe noted that he could ask them.

A person in the crowd questioned when the next workshop meeting would be. Mr. Seeds answered next Tuesday. Ms. Lindsey noted that it would depend if Mr. Wolfe could get you on the schedule, but the meeting next week starts at 6 p.m. Mr. Seeds noted that we need to have someone from PennDOT to attend the meeting.

Mr. Seeds noted if they won't bend on the road, maybe they can help fix the problem on Locust Lane.

Ms. Lindsey questioned what the speed limit on Lexington Street is. Ms. Maurer answered that it is unposted and the traffic survey states that it would be 55 mph, but the suggestion from the police officer is that it should be 25 mph. She noted that there are no speed limit signs in her neighborhood.

Mr. Hawk noted that he would like to see if we can get someone from PennDOT to provide some concrete answers. Ms. Lindsey noted that Mr. Wolfe will check into the speed limit signs with police department.

An unknown person stated that the way they do the speed checks on Madison Street is with a huge thing that tells you to slow down and you can see it a mile away. Mr. Hornung noted that is not how they do speed checks. The gentleman noted that they had a motorcycle

police office sitting there on a clear day. Mr. Hornung noted that speed checks are done with wires that run across the street as it is the most accurate way to do it.

Ms. Jamie Baxley noted that she has been a resident of the Township for over a decade. She explained that her niece has an issue at her home. She noted that it is in reference to a neighbor and the letter was copied to Mr. Wolfe. She explained that her niece lives at 121 South Lockwillow Avenue and her neighbor behind her has a sump pump that drains into her nieces yard and her yard is a swamp. Mr. Wolfe noted that he responded to that email yesterday with a response that the Board of Supervisors has authorized the Township engineer to prepare a design solution to the issue. Ms. Baxley noted that she was not copied on that email. Mr. Wolfe noted that he received one email in regard to the issue and he responded to it yesterday. He noted that the Board has authorized the Township engineer to undertake an analysis of the situation and prepare a design solution for the water problem. Ms. Bojang noted that she was not sent the email. She noted that she did not get a response in a timely manner therefore they came to the Township and met with Mr. Kline. She noted that she was handed a Township ordinance in reference to foundations and sump pump drainage.

Mr. Wolfe noted that Mr. Kline has since taken the Board of Supervisors out and they have looked at the problem and have authorized the Township Engineer to study the situation to prepare a design solution for which they will get a cost estimate and bring it back to the Board for authorization. Ms. Baxley questioned after the cost estimate is done, whose expense this will be. Mr. Wolfe noted that it would be up to the Board but they would not have invested design money into the issue if they were not looking to come up with a solution to the problem.

Ms. Baxley noted in the summer it is a swamp with mosquitoes everywhere. Ms. Lindsey noted that we visited the site last Tuesday in the pouring down rain and when this is all taken

care of the summer problem with the mosquitoes will be taken care of. She noted that the engineering studies are ongoing now.

Ms. Bojang noted that no one has communicated anything to her. Ms. Lindsey questioned if she was the homeowner. Ms. Bojang answered yes. Ms. Lindsey questioned who the email came from. Ms. Bojang answered that it was her and she asked Mr. Kline to come to her place several times to take a look. She noted after several phone calls he came with Matt Miller and they looked around and he stated that they would have to discuss this. Ms. Lindsey noted that he communicated to the Board. Ms. Bojang noted that he is not communicating with her and none of her phone calls have been returned. She noted if she did not come to the meeting she would not know that something is being done. She noted that he has my phone number and she has been calling and leaving messages. She noted that she sent an email to Mr. Miller and he finally emailed her back after she copied Mr. Wolfe. She noted that no one has communicated anything to her. Mr. Wolfe noted if you leave your email address with him he would be happy to forward that email to her.

Mr. Wolfe noted that neither Mr. Miller nor Mr. Kline had the ability to say they could fix the problem. He noted that they had to take the Board of Supervisors out to see it in order to get authorization to address the problem. He noted that the Board did go out last Tuesday. He noted that he received an email in regard to the issue from one resident and he responded to it yesterday, and he would be happy to forward that email to her. Ms. Baxley noted that it was her and she did not get the email. Ms. Bojang noted that she was told that there was nothing the Township could do. Mr. Wolfe noted that there was nothing that staff could do. Ms. Bojang noted that is why she is here as she did not know anything about the study.

Ms. Maurer noted that she would like to thank the Board and would like to attend the workshop meeting as it is a complicated issue that needs to be resolved.

Mr. Crissman questioned if we have your contact information to let you know if we are able to get someone from PennDOT to attend the workshop session. Ms. Maurer noted that Mr. Wolfe has her contact information.

Mr. Hornung questioned if Ms. Maurer is claiming that by improving Revere Street that it will increase traffic and if so why. Ms. Maurer noted that the major connector that traffic uses through the neighborhood is Madison Street by way of Little or Lexington Streets. She noted if they use Lexington they will use Revere Street. She noted that it will increase their ability to get through the neighborhood, as currently Revere Street abuts the highway. Mr. Hornung questioned why this is an issue. Ms. Maurer answered that it would make it a straight shot. Mr. Hornung noted that Lexington and Maddison Streets seem like more of a straight shot than Revere Street. He questioned if moving Revere Street closer to Madison would help to get some of the traffic off of Madison. Ms. Maurer noted that it invites people onto Revere Street. She noted that her property is at the corner of Lexington and Madison Streets and all day long she watches traffic shoot by her house going to Revere and they take her corner out and have eroded her corner.

Mr. Hornung noted that he is not saying that there may be another solution to the problem but he thinks there are two problems. He noted that moving Revere may relieve some of the traffic off of Madison and put it on Revere Street where no one will be living. She noted that she lives on Lexington Street and it is a problem. She noted that the new street will be bigger than any street in the community. Mr. Hornung questioned if the new bigger street will encourage more traffic to come through Lexington Street. Ms. Maurer answered yes.

A person noted that Lexington Street will be the highway. Mr. Hornung noted that the people who will use it already use Lexington and Madison Streets. He noted that Madison Street

is not that bad a road to drive down. A person noted that many people drive Madison Street as it is a hard turn on Revere Street to make a left onto Locust Lane.

Mr. Hornung questioned if Revere Street is narrowed it may still not solve the problem. He noted that he is not making fun of the people and is trying to be productive. He questioned why making Revere Street wider would increase the traffic. He noted that the people are telling him that by making Revere Street wider it will encourage more people to use the crossover. Ms. Maurer answered yes.

Mr. Hornung questioned if Ms. Maurer would be content if we narrowed Revere Street and made it the same width it is now, only one-way. He noted that the ultimate solution would be to correct the over-vertical on Locust Lane; however that would cost several million dollars that Lower Paxton Township does not have. He noted that PennDOT does not have the authority to go that far away from the construction site to spend funds on Locust Lane. He noted that they can't take money from the project and put it into Locust Lane. He noted that it would have to be a totally different project.

Ms. Maurer questioned if they could create a service road from Route 22 to Locust Lane. Mr. Hornung answered no.

A person noted that we have drifted into having the workshop a week early. Mr. Hornung noted that he is trying to get a clear idea of what you are looking for and he is trying to set parameters for the workshop. He noted that you can't move money from the PennDOT project to fix Locust Lane. He noted that would be a totally different conversation. He noted that one conversation concerns the widening of Revere Street that would allow for more traffic in the neighborhood and the other discussion is what you want to do to solve your problem for the long term. He noted that there are two different issues that need to be solved.

A person suggested that we need a traffic analysis of who is driving through the neighborhood. He noted that everyone is operating on assumptions and it would be better from an engineering standpoint to base such decisions on fact.

Mr. Hornung suggested that he did not think that widening Revere Street will increase the amount of traffic; and he is not sure anyone can determine that. A man questioned who is trafficking through the neighborhood now. Mr. Hornung questioned if anyone could come up with that information.

A woman noted that initially they were going to make Revere Street one way from Locust Lane to Lexington. She noted that now we have heard that it will be a two-way street. Mr. Hornung noted that is the conversation that we will have next week. She noted that the initial question is why they wouldn't use Lexington or Madison Streets. She noted that there are no stop signs on Madison Street. Mr. Hornung noted that his question is that the people think that widening Revere Street and putting it the way PennDOT planned will increase crossover traffic between Locust Lane and Route 22.

Ms. Lindsey noted that we need to make sure we have someone from District 8 as we don't have the answers, they do.

Mr. Hawk thanked the people for coming.

Board Members Comments

Mr. Seeds noted that Sestercentennial Celebration will be held this weekend in Linglestown. He noted that the weather looks to be good and he wanted to thank all those involved as well as Mr. Wolfe and staff who did a great job. He noted that Jeff Kline and Jeff Fink and crew have done a great job as well. He noted that they have spruced up the area and he couldn't be more pleased with the all help the Committee had to celebrate this weekend. He noted that the Rotary had donated the clock to the Village and he wanted to thank Representative

Ron Marsico and his contact in the office who contacted PPL to repair several street lights and replace the light that was knocked down a few weeks ago. He thanked Nicki Jones from PPL who was very helpful in getting the lights fixed.

Mr. Seeds noted that they had 40 volunteers out on Sunday cleaning up the streets and picking up trash and planting flowers. He invited all in Lower Paxton Township and beyond to attend the event. He noted that one of the things that makes the Township so great are the people who live here.

Manager's Report

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Village of Linglestown Sestercentennial Celebration will be held Friday, October 10th to Sunday October 12th. He explained that there is a host of activities and events planned, with a flower show on Friday from 1 to 4 p.m. sponsored by the Harrisburg Garden Center on Blue Ridge Avenue. He noted that there will be a 5-k run starting at 8 a.m. sponsored by the Linglestown Life United Methodist Church as well as a community parade that has over 100 units, followed by the dedication of the new Linglestown Rotary Clock. He noted that there will be activities in Koons Park including reenactments from Indians and Revolutionary War people as well as an old fashion fair activity. He explained, on Sunday the day will begin with a softball game between the police and its fire services personnel, concerts beginning around noon and ending with fireworks. He invited the community to participate in the events.

Mr. Wolfe noted that Trick or Treat is scheduled for Thursday, October 29th, 2015 from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m. He requested all youngsters to be accompanied by a responsible adult.

OLD BUSINESS

Resolution 15-25; approving an Intermunicipal Agreement for Chesapeake Bay Pollution Reduction Plan as proposed by the Capital Area Council of Governments

Mr. Wolfe noted that this resolution authorizes the signing of an Intermunicipal agreement by which Lower Paxton Township and several other municipalities will jointly retain Pennoni Associates, an engineering firm, to prepare a Chesapeake Bay Pollution Reduction Plan. He noted that we are required as a municipality with streams that contribute to the Chesapeake Bay to plan for pollution reduction and have to submit a specific plan of action to DEP. He noted that Pennoni Associates will prepare the plan on behalf of all the participating municipalities. He noted that the cost to each participating municipality is expected to be \$10,000. He requested the Board to take favorable action on the resolution at this time.

Ms. Lindsey questioned if we know how many are participating at this time. Mr. Wolfe answered no, but they tend to get a small core to sign on and when others see the effort progress they jump in. Ms. Lindsey noted if more people join, the price will be cheaper. Mr. Seeds noted that there are a few COG members who recently completed their own plan. He noted that is why some are not participating in this plan. Mr. Wolfe noted that Susquehanna Township has done their own plan.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the COG has over 40 members and like the ordinance they undertook for cellular telephone towers, a core group participated and as the work started several other municipalities jumped in. He noted that he would not be surprised if this would work the same way.

Mr. Crissman noted if they don't receive a minimum number then the cost would go up. He noted that he would like to be guaranteed that it does not exceed \$10,000. Ms. Lindsey noted that the last time we discussed this there were ten participants. Mr. Seeds noted that the list had six or eight names. Mr. Wolfe noted that he was told that there are ten participants.

Mr. Seeds noted that it is a huge savings for the Township because if we were to do it on our own it would cost us a lot more.

Mr. Seeds noted that it mentioned if there are any grants received to the COG where the funds would go, towards Phase II of the Best Management Practices (BMP). He questioned what that means. Mr. Wolfe noted that if there are any grant funds received, they would be applied to implementation of projects as opposed to offset the planning costs. Mr. Seeds questioned who's project. Mr. Wolfe noted that it has not been specified yet. Mr. Seeds questioned if we would get some of those funds. Mr. Wolfe noted at this point there have been no grants awarded, but there are discussions for applications for grants; but they haven't decided the specific project implementation. Mr. Seeds suggested that this tells us that we won't get a refund on the \$10,000.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve Resolution 15-25 for an Intermunicipal Agreement for the Chesapeake Bay Pollution Reduction Plan as proposed by the Capital Area Council of Governments. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Action on a professional services proposal from Weber Murphy
Fox to provide architectural design services

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board saw this proposal during the budget workshop session last week and the total cost for services under this proposal is estimated to be \$15,000. He noted it would cover the design for internal improvements to the Friendship Center (FC) to reallocate space. He noted that it includes combining the two activity rooms into one exercise room, moving the front glass wall that separates the fitness center from the social hall further towards the reception desk, decreasing the size of the social hall but increasing fitness space. He noted that it also includes design services to address a priority project of the FC Operating Board, a climbing wall to the rear of the fitness center. He noted that the proposal is complete for the Board to accept this evening.

Mr. Crissman questioned if it includes a relamping of that natatorium. Mr. Wolfe answered yes, noting that there are minor things that he did not include in his presentation.

Ms. Lindsey questioned if the money is coming from the bond. Mr. Wolfe answered yes.

Mr. Seeds questioned if this is a not-to-exceed price of \$14,000. Mr. Wolfe answered no. He noted that it is an estimate of probable cost. He noted that Mr. Bink will come to the Board if the pricing would be more. Mr. Seeds requested to put a provision in the motion to include that they would have to come back to us if it is more than \$14,000.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the professional service proposal from Weber, Murphy Fox, Inc. to provided architectural design services for the Friendship Center with the stipulation if anything exceeding \$14,000, it would require a request for approval by this Board. Ms. Lindsey seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Action to extend the lease with Drayer Physical Therapy for the
Friendship Center West Annex

Mr. Wolfe noted that he did not include a document with this item as there is none to include. He explained that Drayer desires to continue the existing lease which provides for its continuance by the mutual agreement of the parties. He noted that they desire to continue it unchanged, noting that the lease will be a one-year continuation, with three additional renewal years.

Mr. Wolfe questioned Mr. Stine if official action is necessary. He noted that Drayer is willing to participate in some parking lot improvements that will be conducted at the Friendship Center, not associated with the lease, but will be providing approximately two-thirds of the cost of those improvements.

Mr. Seeds questioned if we received a copy of the proposed lease. Mr. Wolfe noted that he received a summary of the negotiations from it. Mr. Seeds noted that it included the parking

but now it will be a separate item. Mr. Stine noted that you need to memorialize the extension. Mr. Wolfe suggested a letter of authorization letting Drayer know that the Board approved it this evening. Mr. Stine answered that would be fine.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to extend the lease with Drayer Physical Therapy for the Friendship Center West Annex for the continuance of the lease for one year for the next three years along with the minor improvements to the parking lot. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk call for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Resolution 15-24; approving amendment to the
Administrative Employee Compensation Plan

Mr. Wolfe noted that earlier this year the Board directed him to reevaluate the Administrative Employee Compensation Plan and he presented the reevaluation of it in the form of a memorandum. He noted that the current date for the memorandum is October 2nd, and that it changed very slightly from the one that the Board reviewed in that he found two typographical errors that he fixed. He noted that the memorandum is exactly the same in content as you previously saw.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Administrative Employee Compensation Plan affects 27 employees or positions within the Township and the Board has determine the format of the plan in its current state is not accurate based upon the assignments of the employees or current employment market conditions. He noted upon researching a survey of comparable municipalities and performing a review of job functions covered by the plan, amendments have been recommended to the Board. He noted that they were previously reviewed in a workshop session and he requests that the Board act favorably on the resolution this evening. He noted once the resolution is approved, he will begin implementation.

Mr. Seeds questioned if this would include any changes in compensation. He questioned if that would be looked at in the budget process. Mr. Wolfe answered that it does include

changes in compensation per the recommendations contained in the plan. He noted at the bottom of page three and top of page four it lists changed in compensation to several employees based upon the fact that they are not properly compensated in accordance with the plan. Mr. Seeds questioned if the total costs is \$27,000. Mr. Wolfe answered yes.

Mr. Seeds questioned if it would be effective today. Mr. Wolfe answered yes. Mr. Seeds questioned if those employees affected by this, would their compensation change as of today. Mr. Wolfe answered no, but we would begin the process to change it as of today. Mr. Seeds noted that there are other changes recommended and we would talk about them during the budget discussions. Mr. Wolfe answered that is correct.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve Resolution 15-24 which approves the amendments to the Administrative Employee Compensation Plan. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

NEW BUSINESS

Resolution 15-22; adopting a Pennsylvania Sewage Facilities Act special study For wastewater flow transfer from the Beaver Creek to Paxton Creek drainage basin

Mr. Wolfe noted that this resolution will transfer 12 Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU's) from Beaver Creek to Paxton Creek. He noted that the purpose for the transfer is to avoid replacing sanitary sewers in Allentown Boulevard, a State Route that is a four lane highway that would have a major traffic control plan associated with it. He noted to save construction costs, it was determined if the flow was transferred from one drainage basin to another it would be more cost effective.

Mr. Seeds noted that this would save a lot of money.

Ms. Lindsey questioned if this is in Jonestown Road in the area of Kohl's. Mr. Wolfe answered yes.

Mr. Seeds questioned if this would have anything to do with any future agreements with CRW and Susquehanna Township in regards to the study. Mr. Wolfe answered yes.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve Resolution 15-22; adopting a Pennsylvania Sewage Facilities Act special study for wastewater flow transfer from the Beaver Creek to Paxton Creek drainage basin. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Action on Winfield Street CDBG-DR Subrecipient Agreement (Round 2)

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board saw on road tour the proposed improvements for the Winfield Street area that are currently under design. He noted that the project is being funded by Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) Program that is run through Dauphin County. He noted that Phase I provided for initial design of the storm sewer improvements and Phase II takes the design and implements it through to bidding the project. He noted that the approval of the Subrecipient agreement for Phase II allows that work to begin and to be paid for by those funds. He noted that it is staff's request that the Board authorize the approval of this Subrecipient agreement.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the Winfield Street CDBG-DR Subrecipient Agreement for Round 2. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed

Reaffirmation of the Preliminary/final subdivision plan for Tina and Asher Benner

Mr. Stine noted when they went to do the layout in the field it was different from what was shown on the plan. He noted that they had to change the plan to match what was happening in the field. He noted in order to do that, they had to come back with the revised property line so that the plan and reality are the same.

Mr. Brian Carter with Johnson, Duffie, Stewart, and Weidner, explained that he represents one of the parties involved in this. He noted that Mr. Stine's summary is correct. He explained after the plan was approved last month it was found that the pin location was in the wrong position and it turned out that the surveying team changed the pins without telling anyone thinking that making a change would be better for the landscaping. He noted that the parties previously agreed to where the line should go and they had to get the plan changed to show the correct location.

Ms. Lindsey questioned if all parties are in agreement. Mr. Carter answered yes and he has the plans that are signed by both property owners and he will provide them to Mr. Wolfe in order that they can be recorded in the court house.

Mr. Seeds noted that the lot size continues to be non-conforming. Mr. Carter noted that nothing changed with that.

Mr. Stine noted that there are no conditions. He noted that everything has been satisfied for the plan.

Mr. Crissman questioned if Mr. Carter is able to speak on behalf of the applicant. Mr. Carter answered that he does not represent the Benner's and he cannot speak on their behalf. He noted that he represents the Knapp's, the other property owners. Mr. Crissman noted that someone has to be able to speak on behalf of the applicant to say that this has been corrected to their satisfaction. He noted that he needs for Mr. Carter or someone to speak on behalf of the applicant because if you say it you will be the person who is liable. Mr. Carter noted that the applicant is the Benner's. Mr. Stine noted that all you have to say is that all the conditions have been met. Mr. Carter noted that all the conditions have been satisfied. He noted that the plans are signed by the Benner's and it has been notarized and it states that all has been done. Mr. Crissman noted that he will accept it as it is memorialized in writing under your statement.

Ms. Lindsey noted that the surveyors surveyed and he was the one that changed the pin location. Mr. Carter noted that is what he told us. Ms. Lindsey questioned who found that it was changed. Mr. Carter noted that the property owners went out prior to the surveyors put in the pin as they put a string line out where they wanted the property line to be. He noted that the string line is still there and when the surveyor came out to place the pin, it was six feet off from where it should have been as shown on the plan. He noted that is how it was found to be in the wrong location. He noted that the surveyor did not tell anyone that he moved the pin location on his own.

Ms. Deborah Knapp noted that she was home when the surveyor came and she knew that it was wrong. She explained that she told him that it was the wrong spot.

Mr. Crissman noted that he is willing to make the motion but he wants to make sure that everything is correct. Mr. Carter answered that the conditions have been met and the plan is signed by all members and he is waiting for the Township and Planning Commission to sign it.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the reaffirmation of the preliminary and final subdivision plan for Tina and Asher Benner. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Improvement Guarantees

Mr. Hawk noted that there were two Improvement Guarantees.

Rite Aid Corporation

A release of a bond Westchester Fire Insurance Company, in the amount of \$392,136.25.

Shadebrook, Phase I

A reduction in a letter of credit with Farmers and Merchants Trust Company, in the amount of \$2,117,984.56, with an expiration date of June 16, 2016.

Mr. Crissman made a motion to approve the two improvement guarantees. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote and a unanimous vote followed.

Payment of Bills

Mr. Seeds made a motion to pay the bills of Lower Paxton Township, Lower Paxton Township Authority, Purchase Cards for Lower Paxton and Lower Paxton Township Authority and Payroll checks. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion. Mr. Hawk called for a voice vote, and a unanimous vote followed.

Adjournment

There being no further business, Mr. Crissman made a motion to adjourn the meeting, and the meeting adjourned at 8:36 p. m.

Respectfully submitted,

Maureen Heberle
Recording Secretary

Approved by,

William L. Hornung
Township Secretary